Instructions: Please rank, in order of preference (1=most preferred; 8=least preferred) the top eight legislators in this session. Consider each contestant’s holistic performance in the session, including an aggregate consideration of the quality of speaking or presiding. Did the contestant’s actions enhance the chamber’s ability to conduct legislative business, or did his/her focus on the minutia of procedures and competitive framework detract from time for others to speak?

Are you aware you may or may not place the presiding officer in the list of your eight most preferred contestants, if you believe her/his performance was worthy?

- [ ] Yes

Note: If the presiding officer’s performance was not worthy, do not include him/her below! If, however, the PO’s performance was worthy, do include him/her below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Name (print legibly; use FULL name)</th>
<th>School Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Print Judge Name:  
School/Affiliation: