UIL Ready Writing Rubric

Evaluation criteria are listed in the order of importance. Circle score rating in each of the three major areas of interest, organization, and correctness of style, and check the column which best describes the quality in each of the sub-areas.

(60%) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
INTEREST: Writing exhibits originality of thought, analytical acuteness and overall coherence of exposition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>POOR</th>
<th>FAIR</th>
<th>GOOD</th>
<th>EXCELLENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceptive ideas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Originality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(30%) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
ORGANIZATION: Each paragraph develops one idea and contributes to an understanding of main idea or thesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>POOR</th>
<th>FAIR</th>
<th>GOOD</th>
<th>EXCELLENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clear thesis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-developed paragraphs, focused on one idea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thesis support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition clarity (as a whole)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(10%) 1 2 3
CORRECTNESS OF STYLE: Writing avoids errors in sentence structure, punctuation, grammar, word usage and spelling that hinder clear communication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>POOR</th>
<th>FAIR</th>
<th>GOOD</th>
<th>EXCELLENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Punctuation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentence structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grammar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word usage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spelling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL SCORE: ________

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: 
UIL READY WRITING

SUGGESTIONS FOR GRADING PROCEDURES
(Contest Director Should Discuss with Contest Judges)

Divide the papers among the graders.

STEP #1  Instruct judges to scan the papers first and award a plus (+) or minus (-) at the bottom of
the last attached UIL Judging Rubric. (Either one or three rubrics will be attached to
each essay, depending on choice in step #7)

STEP #2:  Gather the essays and separate papers into (+) and (-) stacks.

STEP #3:  Redistribute the (+) papers to the judges.

STEP #4:  Judges read and give preliminary ranking to the (+) essays. (Each on separate rubrics)

STEP #5:  Judges then determine the final ranking of the top 6 papers. Judges may award a total
of 30 points by circling the appropriate numbers in each of the three major areas of
consideration: interest, organization and correctness of style.

STEP #6:  In accordance to rankings, provide at least one rubric assessment for each essay (both
the + and - papers) entered in the contest. Judges write directly on the judging rubric,
remembering to offer constructive criticism aimed at helping students overcome
area(s) of weakness or error. The comments need not be long, but should be specific
rather than general because specific references are more beneficial.

STEP #7:  There are three possible methods for providing responses:
1) One judge may give written response in the space provided on a rubric;
2) All three judges may collaboratively provide responses on one rubric; or
3) All three judges may write separate responses on separate rubrics.
NOTE:  Judges should never make corrections, comments, etc. directly on the papers.
Comments are to be written on the rubric only!

Checked portions of at least one rubric should be completed for each contestant. Return
all judging rubrics to students with their essays.

COMMONLY ASKED QUESTIONS

1.  Q. What if the student doesn’t strictly address the topic on the prompt?

   A: That’s OK. The prompt is intended as a starting place. If a student sees ramifications
or applications, he or she may write a coherent, expository essay on that portion of
the prompt so long as the judge can identify a common thread between the prompt and the
response. But the essay shouldn’t ramble.

2.  Q: What if a paper is interesting but short?

   A: An essay should be well developed, intelligent. If it’s clever, original, steady and
reliable, even better. The long ago requirement was 1,000 words, minimum. Use this as
a guideline but do not count words. Instead, consider whether the essay develops a
theme, provides interesting and relevant examples and treats the subject with creativity
and flair.

   (Continued on reserve)
3. Q: What if an entry is technically correct but boring?

A: Ready writing rewards interest and creativity (60%) over formulaic writing or grammatical correctness (10%). Do not reward pomposity or the desire to shoehorn a quote from Thoreau or Blake fit into any situation. As William Golding noted, “What a word is truth.” Alas, how true. How true!

4. Q: What if a paper has multiple grammar or punctuation errors?

A: Well, this isn’t a grammar contest, but each judge may award three points for correctness of style. If judges notice many errors, they ain’t got to award them three points at all.

5. Q: What if a student uses first person pronouns?

A: I don’t see any problem with this as long as the essay is expository in nature. The student may use first person pronouns as appropriate when using a personal experience to create interest and substantiate the expository discussion. At least, that’s how I see it.