

# INTERSCHOLASTIC LEAGUER

VOL. LVI

AUSTIN, TEXAS JANUARY, 1972

No. 5

## State Basketball Playoff Schedule Announced

### Meeting Directors Listed For One-Act Play Planning

By LYNN MURRAY  
State Drama Director

The One-Act Play Planning Meeting Directors listed below have been named by their respective Director Generals since publication of the December LEAGUER.

If you have not heard from your district OAP Planning Meeting Director, you should contact him at once. Directors should meet prior to the time the district spring meet is organized. The deadline for spring meet organization is Feb. 1. If your district does not appear in this list or was not in the initial list in the December LEAGUER, you should contact your District Director General. Your administration can give you the necessary information.

**Conference AAAA**  
District  
6—Billy H. Smith, Birdville I.S.D., 6125 E. Belknap, Ft. Worth, 76117.  
15—Jimmy French, Killeen High, Killeen, 76541.  
16—Gerald Clanton, Memorial High School, 935 Echo Lane, Houston, 77024.  
18—Kenneth Laird, Jesse H. Jones High, Houston, 77033.  
29—Mrs. Elizabeth Hankins, Roosevelt High School, San Antonio, 78218.  
32—Mrs. Anna Treadwell, Lanier High, San Antonio, 78207.

**Conference AAA**  
District  
16—Jon Swartz, Falfurrias High

School, Falfurrias, 78355.

**Conference AA**  
District  
2—Miss Joy Morton, Friona High School, Friona, 79035.  
5—Rex Carnes, Fabens High School, Stamford, 79553.  
9—Supt. Thayne Amonett, Wellington I.S.D., Wellington, 79095.  
31—Kenneth Thornton, Hebronville High School, Hebronville, 78361.

**Conference A**  
District  
3—Supt. Sam T. Bryan, Happy I.S.D., Happy, 79042.  
6—Mrs. Paula Carter, Rankin High School, Rankin, 79778.  
8—Supt. Douglas Meyers, Munday I.S.D., Munday, 76371.  
10—Hoyett L. Browning, Goldthwaite High School, Goldthwaite, 76844.  
12—Supt. Delton Stille, Nocona I.S.D., Nacona, 77625.  
18—Mrs. Vivian Harris, Marbank I.S.D., Marbank, 75147.  
31—Principal Clifford L. Curry, Pettus High School, Pettus, 78146.

**Conference B**  
District  
7—Principal Mickey McMeans, Borden County High School, Gail, 79738.  
8—Supt. Glen Mitchell, Wilson I.S.D., Wilson, 79381.  
10—Jim Agnew, Buena Vista High School, Imperial, 79743.  
31—Supt. Wayne Poe, Wortham I.S.D., Wortham, 76693.  
38—Supt. R. E. Schlott, Knippa I.S.D., Knippa, 78870.



LEAGUE SCHOLARSHIP WINNERS AT TCU—Dr. J. M. Moudy, TCU Chancellor, looks over a Leaguer with two of four Interscholastic League Scholarship winners attending TCU this year. Pictured are freshmen Wendolyn Williams and Richard Hayes. Miss Williams, a Brown Memorial award winner, participated in the literature competition from Perryton High School where she was salutatorian. At Perryton, participated in National Honor Society, Quill and Scroll, Girl Scouts, Red Cross, Spanish Club and FTA. Richard Hayes, who ranked second in state debate, received an annually renewable grant from the King Foundation. He graduated with honors from Denton High School where he was student government president, national Forensic League officer, and Key Club, Debate Club and National Thespian member. At TCU he has ranked among the top speakers at numerous national college debate tournaments and helped prepare and conduct TCU's national summer debate workshop last summer. Other League scholarship winners at TCU are freshman Stanley Williams from Boswell High School in Saginaw and junior Loring Johnson from Henderson.

### Regional Committees Select Dates, Sites

For the 1971-72 school year each region in Conference AAA Boys' Basketball has a Regional Chairman appointed to serve with the district winning schools in determining which method will be used in selecting a regional champion.

The Regional Committee may elect to play a tournament at a site and time determined by the Regional Committee, or they may elect a regional play-off series. The regional play-off series may be paired and played under the following schedule:

Using Region I, AAA, as an illustration, the winner of district 1AAA plays 2AAA, and 3AAA plays 4AAA in a one-game play-off to be played either on February 21 or 22 at sites to be selected by participating teams. The winners in each case will then play a one-game series on February 25 or 26 at a site to be determined by the two participating schools.

In case there is a tie vote as to what procedure shall be used, the chairman will break the tie by casting his vote.

#### Regional Chairman

Conference AAA regional chairmen are Region I, Districts 1-4, Bill Vardeman, Supt., Levelland, and Region II, Districts 5-8, Wilburn Echols, Supt., Gainesville. Also, Region III, Districts 9-12, Kenneth Welch, Supt., Columbia-Brazoria, West Columbia, and Region IV, Districts 13-16, Drew Reese, Supt., Pleasanton.

#### Conference AAA (Girls)

In Conference AAA for girls, in Region I, Districts 3 and 4 will play each other in a one game play-off on February 14 or 15. The winner of this game will play the District 1 winner for the regional championship on February 18 or 19.

In Regions II, III and IV the odd-numbered districts will play the even-numbered districts in a first-round regional game, with 3 vs. 4, 5 vs. 6, and 7 vs. 8 on February 15 or 16.

The winners of the first round will play each other for the regional championship on either February 18 or 19.

#### A and AA Championships (Boys)

The following schedule for selecting regional champions in Conferences A and AA, Boys, has been authorized by the State Executive Committee for the 1971 season.

There will be a one-game play-off for the A and AA boys on February 21 or 22 to determine which team will qualify for the regional tournament to be completed on the following Saturday, February 26.

#### B and AAAA Championships

The odd-numbered district winners will play the even-numbered district winners in a first-round elimination on February 28 or 29. The survivor of the first-round game will advance to the respective regional tournament to be completed on the weekend of March 3 and 4.

#### B, A and AA Girls

For the girls there will be a one-game play-off on February 14 or 15 to determine which teams will qualify for the regional tournament, to be completed the following Saturday, February 19.

This will mean only four teams will be represented at the regional tournament in Conferences A and AA for boys and girls and in AAAA for boys. In Conference B there will be eight teams in each regional meet.

In each region for both boys and girls in all classes, the bi-district game will be between odd-numbered and even-numbered districts; e.g., 1 will play 2; 3 will play 4; and 5 will play 6.

#### AAAA Regional Sites

Region I, Districts 1-8, Abilene

Christian College, Abilene, Carnie R. Hatch, Tournament Director.

Region II, Districts 9-16, Texas A. & M. University, College Station, Marvin Tate, Tournament Director.

Region III, Districts 17-24, University of Houston, Houston, Harry Fouke, Tournament Director.

Region IV, Districts 25-32, San Antonio, James Littleton, Tournament Director.

#### AA Boys Regional Sites

Region I, Districts 1-8, Texas Technological University, Lubbock, Edsel Buchanan, Tournament Director.

Region II, Districts 9-16, North Texas State University, Denton, Kenneth Bahnsen, Tournament Director.

Region III, Districts 17-24, Blinn College, Brenham, W. C. Schwartz, Tournament Director.

Region IV, Districts 25-32, Texas A. & I. University, Kingsville, Dr. Stewart Cooper, Tournament Director.

#### AA Girls Regional Sites

Region I, Districts 1-8, Texas Technological University, Lubbock, Mr. E. Buchanan and Mrs. Betty Tevis, Tournament Directors.

Region II, Districts 9-16, North Texas State University, Denton, Kenneth Bahnsen and Sammie Jean Estes, Tournament Directors.

Region III, Districts 17-24, Blinn College, Brenham, Dean W. C. Schwartz and Mrs. Janis Sneed, Tournament Directors.

Region IV, Districts 25-32, Texas A. & I. University, Kingsville, Dr. Stewart Cooper and Mrs. Betty J. Brewer, Tournament Directors.

#### A Boys Regional Sites

Region I, Districts 1-8, Texas Technological University, Lubbock, Edsel Buchanan, Tournament Director.

Region II, Districts 9-16, North Texas State University, Denton, Kenneth Bahnsen, Tournament Director.

Region III, Districts 17-24, Blinn College, Brenham, W. C. Schwartz, Tournament Director.

Region IV, Districts 25-32, Victoria College, Victoria, Harlon Gerhold and Mrs. Iris Baillo.

#### A Girls Regional Sites

Region I, Districts 1-8, Texas Technological University, Lubbock, E. Buchanan and Mrs. Betty Tevis, Tournament Directors.

Region II, Districts 9-16, North Texas State University, Denton, Kenneth Bahnsen and Sammie Jean Estes, Tournament Directors.

Region III, Districts 17-24, Blinn College, Brenham, Dean W. C. Schwartz and Mrs. Janis Sneed, Tournament Directors.

Region IV, Districts 25-32, Victoria College, Victoria, Harlon Gerhold and Mrs. Iris Baillo.

#### Conference B Regional Sites

##### Boys and Girls

Region I, Districts 1-16, South Plains College, Levelland, Bill Powell and Mrs. Mary Shea, Tournament Directors.

Region II, Districts 17-32, Tarleton State College, Stephenville, Donnie Campbell and Miss Susan Baker, Tournament Directors.

Region III, Districts 33-48, Kilgore College, Kilgore, Joe Turner and Mrs. Ruth Green, Tournament Directors.

Region IV, Districts 49-64, Southwest Texas State University, San Marcos, Milton Jowers and Mrs. Cleo Broxton, Tournament Directors.

### Pflugerville Tops

Pflugerville has Texas' longest football winning streak with 55-secutive wins from 1958 to 1971 of the 1962 season. Aeneas takes second home with 49 straight wins from 1971 to 1972.

### Critical Reading Aids Science Test Entrants

By DR. ADDISON E. LEE  
State Science Director

The University Interscholastic League has formulated instructions to govern the 1971-72 Interscholastic League Science Contest. The Contest Directors are presently setting-up contest examinations as directed by these instructions. As in past years the 1971-72 Science Contest will consist of four different examinations: two at the district level, one at the regional level, and one at the state level.

Each examination will consist of fifty multiple choice questions of varying degrees of difficulty which will be drawn from the fields of biology, chemistry, and physics. The questions will be designated to test students' understanding of phenomena, principles, and procedures, rather than their ability to merely memorize facts.

The overriding purpose of the Science Contest is to promote extensive critical reading in science by high school students. As such the examinations will be based primarily on material covered in books and periodicals included in the official reading list supplied to the schools by the Interscholastic League. Preparation for the contest therefore requires no special equipment, facilities, or coaching by the teaching staff; it only requires the basic materials which should be in every high school library. As a result the contest should appeal to a large number of students from varied backgrounds, all of whom can compete on equal terms.

Students should be advised to prepare for the contest by first selecting the subject area about which they know least and by reading the general book recommended by the reading list for that field. After the general account has been read, students should read about the great experiments in the subject area; and finally they should check periodicals for recent developments in the subject area. Following this procedure in a progressive pattern, from the subject they know least about to the one they know second best and then to the one they know best, students will develop a broad knowledge of all.

Participants in the Science Contest who will graduate during the 1971-72 academic year may be eligible to compete for Texas Interscholastic League Foundation awards. Awards for which participants in the Science Contest may be eligible will this year be in excess of \$134,000 and will come from eleven different foundations, endowments, and scholastic funds.

"The science contest is one of our most productive efforts," said League Director Rhea Williams. "The winners have proved in their college work that they are outstanding students. Many have won Texas Interscholastic League Foundation grants and have earned advanced degrees in many fields of science. We are proud of them and of the directors of this contest. The directors are making an invaluable contribution to the education of Texas' finest students."

### College Theatre Festival

### Fort Worth 1972 Host For Play Performances

The bill for the 4th annual Region 5 American College Theatre Festival was announced recently by Dr. Jack Wright, Chairman of Region 5 ACTF activities.

The Festival will be held again this year at the Scott Theatre in Fort Worth, Jan. 19-22.

The University of Arkansas, Little Rock, will open the bill at 8:15 P.M. on Wednesday, Jan. 19, with their production of CHILD'S PLAY, directed by Clifford Haislip. On each of the remaining days, two plays will be produced. At 2:15 P.M. on Jan. 20, McLennan Community College, Waco, will stage THE MENAECHEMI, directed by James Henderson; and at 8:15 p.m. that evening, East Texas State University, Commerce, will stage THE TAROT OF OTHO MCKAIN, directed by Curtis L. Pope.

On Friday, Jan. 21, Southeastern Oklahoma State College will produce of MICE AND MEN, directed by Dave Cook. Curtain time for the afternoon performance is 2:15 p.m. At 8:15 p.m. that evening, Tulane University will stage THE BIRTHDAY PARTY, directed by William Arrigon.

The Region 5 Festival will end Saturday, Jan. 22. The 2:15 p.m. production will be STREETCAR NAMED DESIRE, directed by Terry L. Huckabee and staged by

the students from Arkansas State University. At 8:15 p.m., Southern Methodist University, Dallas, will close the Festival with their production of OEDIPUS THE KING.

The American College Theatre Festival is a product of The American National Theatre Academy and The American Theatre Association, presented by The John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts and The Smithsonian Institution. It is sponsored by American Airlines, American Express and American Oil Company.

Three Region 5 productions will be selected by the screening committee to go to the National Festival in Washington, D.C. The central committee of the ACTF will make final selections in late February and invite ten of the total festival entries across the nation to produce their plays in Washington.

A nationally known consulting judge will critique each of the Region 5 plays immediately following their production. A number of workshops of various types are planned during the Festival.

Tickets for each performance are \$1.50. Group rates and further details of the ACTC Region 5 activities in Ft. Worth may be obtained by contacting Bill Garber, Host, Managing Director, Scott Theatre, 3505 West Lancaster, Fort Worth, Texas 76107.

## Welfare, Education, Health Proposed Debate Topic Areas

Welfare, Education, and Health are the three major areas selected by the Advisory Council of the National University Extension Association for next year's high school debating.

Dr. Rhea H. Williams represented the Interscholastic League, with the assistance of debate coaches Ed Thompson of Milby High School (Houston) and June H. Porter of Spearman High. Each year, two debate sponsors are invited to assist the Council in evaluating the suggested debate areas and topics being considered.

#### AREA I: Public Schools

In view of the recent Supreme Court decision on taxation for funding public education, the problem of financing education is of paramount importance to the nation today. Therefore, the first problem area adopted is: "What should be the policy toward financing elementary and secondary education in the United States?"

Discussion questions are: What is the potential of a voucher system as the primary means of financing elementary and secondary education in the United States?

How can the method of financing all public elementary and secondary education in the United States be improved?

How can financial aid best be provided to parochial and secular private elementary and secondary schools in the United States?

Suggested debate topics are: Resolved: That a voucher system should be established as the primary means of financing elementary and secondary education in the United States.

Resolved: That governmental financial support for all public elementary and secondary education in the United States should be provided exclusively by the federal government.

Resolved: That public funds should be the primary means of financing parochial and secular private elementary and secondary education in the United States.

#### AREA II: Social Welfare

Reflecting interest in this problem among taxpayers and legisla-

tors, not to mention welfare recipients, the Council suggested that the second problem area be: "What should be the role of the federal government in providing for the social welfare of the United States citizens?"

Under this area, the following discussion questions have been formulated:

What should be the responsibility of the federal government in guaranteeing a minimum annual income for all citizens?

What should be the responsibility of the federal government in establishing work programs for all citizens?

What should be the responsibility of the federal government in restructuring welfare programs?

Suggested debate propositions are:

Resolved: That the federal government should guarantee a minimum annual income for all citizens living in poverty.

Resolved: That all public welfare programs in the United States should be financed and administered solely by the federal government.

Resolved: That the federal government should guarantee employment for all United States citizens.

#### AREA III: Public Health

"What should be the policy of the federal government toward health care for the United States Citizens?" was the third problem area chosen. Discussion questions proposed are:

What should be the role of the federal government in financing medical care for United States citizens?

How can the federal government assure adequate medical care for all United States citizens?

What should be the federal government's policy in financing mental health care for United States citizens?

Debate topics proposed in this area are:

Resolved: That the federal government should compensate all United States citizens for medical care costs.

Resolved: That the federal government should provide complete medical care for all United States citizens.

Resolved: That the federal government should finance a program of complete mental health care for all United States citizens.

#### Preferential Ballot

The League annually mails a preliminary survey to its member schools, asking that they vote on the problem area which they consider most suitable for debate. Once the area is determined, a later ballot is sent, results of this preferential voting establishing the actual debate proposition in the area first voted upon.

Each topic must be "ranked" or the ballot can not be counted. Since the preferential ranking determines the results, incomplete ballots can not be included in the tabulation. Also, it is very important that ballots be returned by the date designated if they are to be included in computing results.

### Knee Ligament Looseness Not Related to Injuries

The tighter a football player's knee, the less chance of lower extremity injury. Right? Wrong! At least for high school football players—according to recent research.

A relationship has been shown in professional football players between ligamentous laxity (looseness of the knee ligament) and lower extremity ruptures requiring surgical correction. To determine whether a similar relationship existed among high school football players, a study was conducted using 426 regular players on 15 teams in two leagues.

Four measures of joint mobility were obtained for each player.

(1) The ability to flex the spine with feet together and knees locked, measured on a 10-point scale.

(2) The amount of recurvation of the knee;

(3) The angle formed by the two second toes with heels together back to back and feet externally rotated as far as possible, measured to the nearest 5 degrees.

(4) The distance of knees from floor while forced down by the seated player's thigh muscles, with heels pulled back and plantar surfaces together.

Surveys of all injuries were completed by coaches during the 1970 season. At season's end, knee injuries were reported for 20 regular players and ankle injuries for 29.

Four players with knee injuries were incapacitated for several weeks, and two required surgical correction.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

("Predicting Lower-Extremity Injuries in High School Football Players," in Journal of the American Medical Association, September 13, 1971)

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found between injured and uninjured players, suggesting no correlation between looseness of knee ligaments and lower extremity injuries.

No differences in any of the four measures of joint mobility or in any combination of the four were found



## Happy New Year!

As we start the New Year, the League staff would like to extend its best wishes to all member schools, administrators, coaches, teachers and students. It is our sincere hope that the year will bring satisfactory educational competition experiences to all and that at the close of 1972 it can be said that all have been good sports, have competed fairly, winning with humility and losing with dignity.

For the new year, we encourage all member schools to make available to their students educational competitive activities sponsored by the League. There is no doubt that most schools will offer the athletic and music program, but it should be kept in mind that many other talented boys and girls are not given an opportunity to utilize their skills in literary and athletic contests.

We hope that this will be the best year ever for the League—and it can be if we have your full support. We wish for you and yours a very happy New Year.

## Read Your Rules

As we move into the spring semester, there will be many interschool activities, conducted by each member school. The League urges all administrators and coaches to read the eligibility rules, and contest rules for the activities for which each is responsible. Nothing is more embarrassing or discouraging than to have an individual or team disqualified for violating a League rule. All administrators and sponsors should be alert to avoid such violations.

It is the responsibility of the school administrator to certify that each student is eligible and that the plan of competition is being followed. Each administrator and coach should take 30 minutes and read carefully eligibility rules and the contest plan for his event, so that neither his school, nor his contestants are embarrassed by being declared ineligible and disqualified. It is important that the coach do this before the activity begins and not wait until it is over and then learn an ineligible player has been used and that all victories must be forfeited.

Tennis, a lifetime sport, has been sponsored by the League since the rules and to see that they are enforced. This makes for good, educational administration and competition.

## Tennis and The Amateur Rule

Tennis, a lifetime sport, has been sponsored by the League since the 1910-1911 school year. With a long tradition of courtesy to opponents, tennis spectators do not "boo" nor interrupt as they do in other activities. Tennis has always been an amateur sport and can be played and enjoyed for a lifetime.

However, some organizations and people do not live up to the amateur ad portmanlike code traditional of tennis. We refer to those sporting goods companies and sales promoters who offer and frequently give tennis rackets and equipment to outstanding high school players. Their purpose is obvious: to use the young tennis players to promote their particular plan, product or service. Unfortunately, some high school tennis coaches are occasionally a party to such action.

It is definitely a violation of the League Amateur Rule for a player to accept a tennis racket or tennis balls or any other equipment, as these are "valuable consideration" and accepting them violates the League Amateur Rule, Article VIII, Section 8 of the CONSTITUTION AND CONTEST RULES.

Occasionally, the League has had to declare a tennis player ineligible because this particular rule has been violated, although I am sure that many do so without being "caught." The League strongly encourages all high school tennis coaches to see that this practice is eliminated from their schools and that high school tennis players are not used to promote a plan, product, or service.

Since it is a violation, adults who persist in doing this and attempting to keep it "covered up" are teaching youth dishonesty and subterfuge. Many young people today charge that we (their elders) are not honest and not trustworthy. Certainly, such conduct would tend to verify their complaints.

Most of this item has been devoted to tennis. However, we have the same problem in practically all lifetime sports, such as golf and swimming. Unfortunately, many lifetime sports are conducted during the summer by outside agencies or groups. They do not understand or perhaps do not realize the importance of the Amateur Rule, and it becomes difficult to enforce.

Each coach should be sure that his contestants realize that it is vital to them, if they would maintain their eligibility, not to violate this rule and that, during the summer, they are more likely to find themselves in situations where they must not forget its provisions and penalties.

\* \* \* \* \*

We'll need someone who will inspire us to do that which we are capable of doing.

\* \* \* \* \*

When I was 17 my dad was a nice enough guy, but he just didn't understand. When I was 25 I didn't understand and my wise father helped me to know myself.

\* \* \* \* \*

Experience is what some employers want you to have before they will hire you as a beginner.

## University Interscholastic League Directory

State Executive Committee: Dean Wm. Barron, chairman; A. R. Schrank, Dr. Lynn Wade McCraw, Dr. Emmette Redford, Dr. J. J. Villarreal, Dr. Jerro Williams, Dr. Rhea H. Williams, Lynn F. Anderson, Bailey M. Marshall.  
Legislative Council: James R. Phillips, Chairman; James Martin, Vice-Chairman; Alvin Cannady, Foster Cook, W. O. Echols, A. G. Elder, Charles Evans, J. E. Ferguson, Horace Francis, Jerry Gideon, Dean Hopf, B. E. McColium, Manne Park, Drew Reese, W. A. Reeves, Eugene Stoevers, George Thigpen, Odell Wilkes, J. N. "Pete" Wilson, C. E. Womack.  
Director: Dr. Rhea H. Williams  
Editor of Athletics: Bailey M. Marshall  
Editor of Music: Dr. Nelson G. Patrick  
Editor of Journalism: Dr. Max R. Haddick  
Editor of Drama: Lynn Murray

## INTERSCHOLASTIC LEAGUER

Published six times a year, each month from September through April, by the Bureau of Public Schools, Texas, Division of Extension, The University of Texas, Box 5028, Austin 78712.

Editor: Dr. Rhea H. Williams  
Managing Editor: Dr. Nelson G. Patrick

Second class postage paid at Austin, Texas. Subscription: \$1 per year plus 5 cents tax.

Vol. LVI

JANUARY, 1972

No. 5

## League Calendar

July 30-31, Aug. 1-2—ILPC Newspaper and Yearbook Workshop  
Aug. 9—First day to issue football shoes and socks, Conferences AAA, AA, A, B, Sixman and Eightman. (No conditioning drills or organized instruction permitted.)  
Aug. 14—First day for fall football conditioning, Conferences AAA, AA, A, B, Sixman and Eightman. (No contact equipment or contact activities permitted.)  
Aug. 16—First day for issuing football shoes and socks, Conference AAAA. (No conditioning drills or organized instruction permitted.)  
Aug. 18—First day for issuing contact equipment and conducting contact activities, Conference AAA, AA, A, B, Sixman and Eightman.  
Aug. 21—First day for fall football conditioning, Conference AAAA. (No contact equipment or contact activities permitted.)  
Aug. 24—First day for football interschool scrimmages, Conferences AAA, AA, A, B, Sixman and Eightman.  
Aug. 25—First day for issuing contact equipment and conducting contact activities, Conference AAAA.  
Sept. 1—Last day for accepting Football Plan.  
Sept. 1—Last day for filing Music Acceptance Cards.  
Sept. 1—First day for accepting League membership fees for school year 1972-1973.  
Sept. 6—Last day for submitting final Music Regional Organization Reports.  
Sept. 7—First day for playing football games, all conferences.  
Sept. 22—Last day for meeting of football district executive committees.  
Oct. 1—First day for boys' and girls' basketball practice, Conference B.  
Oct. 1—Last day for accepting Boys' and Girls' Swimming Plans.  
Oct. 1—Last day for organizing boys' and girls' basketball districts.  
Oct. 15—First day for girls' basketball practice, Conferences A, AA, and AAA.  
Oct. 15—First day for boys' basketball practice, Conferences AAAA and AAA.  
Oct. 15—First day for boys' and girls' basketball interschool scrimmages or games, Conference B.  
Oct. 15—Last day for accepting Boys' and Girls' Basketball Plans.  
Oct. 15—First day for organizing spring meet districts, all conferences.  
Oct. 20—First day for Regional Marching Bands contests.  
Nov. 1—First day for boys' basketball practice, Conferences A and AA.  
Nov. 1—First day for girls' basketball interschool scrimmages or games, Conferences A, AA, and AAA.  
Nov. 5—Legislative Council meets in Austin.  
Nov. 15—First day for boys' interschool basketball scrimmages or games, Conferences AAAA, AAA, AA and A.  
Nov. 15—Last day for filing Girls' Volleyball Acceptance Cards.  
Nov. 15—Last day for filing Girls' Track and Field Acceptance Cards.  
Nov. 18—Last day to certify district football championships, all conferences.  
Dec. 1—Last day for enrolling in Interscholastic League Press Conferences.  
Dec. 1—Last day for postmarking One-Act Play Enrollment Cards.  
Dec. 1—Last day for paying Interscholastic League membership fees.  
Dec. 2—Last day for holding Regional Marching Band Contests.  
Dec. 2—Girls' Regional Swimming Meets.  
Dec. 8—Girls' State Swimming Meets.  
Dec. 15—First day for girls' volleyball practice, all conferences.  
Dec. 15—Deadline for submitting issues of school newspapers for ILPC criticism.  
Feb. 1—Last day for rating newspapers by ILPC.  
Feb. 1—First day for girls' volleyball interschool scrimmages or games, all conferences.  
Feb. 1—First day for baseball practice, all conferences.  
Feb. 1—Last day for organizing spring meet districts.  
Feb. 2—Earliest day for holding Regional Solo and Ensemble contests.  
Feb. 10—Last day to certify girls' district basketball champions, all conferences.  
Feb. 12—First day for girls' regional basketball playoffs, all conferences.  
Feb. 14—Last day for requesting additions to basic set and submitting plays NOT on Approved Lists for consideration as One-Act Play contest entries.  
Feb. 15—Last day for filing Boys' Baseball Acceptance Cards.  
Feb. 17—Last day for girls' regional basketball playoffs, all conferences.  
Feb. 17—Last day to certify boys' district basketball champions, Conferences AAA, AA and A.  
Feb. 19—First day for boys' regional basketball playoffs, Conferences A, AA and AAA.  
Feb. 22, 23, 24—Girls' State Basketball Tournaments, all conferences.  
Feb. 24—Last day for boys' regional basketball playoffs, Conferences A, AA and AAA.  
Feb. 24—Last day to certify boys' district basketball champions, Conferences B and AAAA.  
Feb. 26—Last day for receipt of ILPC Individual Achievement Award entries.  
Feb. 26—First day for boys' regional basketball playoffs, Conferences B and AAAA.  
March 1—Last day for organizing baseball districts, all conferences.  
March 1—First day for playing any interschool baseball games, all conferences.  
March 2—Last day for filing One-Act Play Title Entry Cards with State Office.  
March 1, 2, 3—Boys State Basketball Tournaments, Conferences A, AA and AAA.  
March 2, 3—Boys Regional Swimming Meets.  
March 3—Last day for boys' regional basketball playoffs, Conferences B and AAAA.  
March 9-10—Boys' State Basketball Tournaments, Conferences B and AAAA.  
March 9—Last day for holding Regional Solo and Ensemble contests.  
March 16-17—Boys' State Swimming Meets.  
March 26-31—First week for holding district spring meets.  
March 26-31—Only week for district One-Act Play contests for districts affected by Area meets.  
April 2-7—Last week for holding district spring meets.  
April 2-7—Only week for Area One-Act Play contests for districts affected.  
April 7—Last day to certify girls' volleyball district champions, all conferences.  
April 9—Last day for filing entries in regional spring meets.  
April 13-14—Regional Spring Meets.  
April 13-14—Last weekend for holding girls' Track and Field district meets.  
April 14—Last day for playing off girls' volleyball regional championships, all conferences.  
April 19-21—Easter Holiday.  
April 27-28—Girls' Volleyball State Championships, all conferences.  
April 27-28—Girls' Regional Track and Field meets.  
May 3, 4, 5—State Meet.  
May 4—Last day to submit Regional Music Contest Schedule for 1973-1974.  
May 11-12—Girls' State Track and Field Meets.  
May 12—Last day to certify district baseball champions, Conferences AAAA, AA, A and B.  
May 19—Last day to determine district baseball champions, Conference AAA.  
May 19—Last day to determine bidistrict baseball champions, Conference AAAA.  
May 26—Last day to determine bidistrict baseball champions, Conference AAA.  
May 26—Last day to determine second-round baseball champions, Conference AAAA.  
June 2—Last day to determine regional baseball champions, Conferences AAAA and AAA.  
June 7-8—State Baseball Tournaments, Conferences AAAA and AAA.  
June 8—Last day to determine regional baseball champions, Conferences A and AA.  
June 8—Last day to determine bidistrict baseball champions, Conference B.  
June 3 and June 5—State Finals: Music, Solo and Ensemble contests.  
June 18—Annual meeting of Music Rules Advisor Committee, Austin.

## Official Notices

### POTH JUNIOR HIGH

Poth Junior High School was disqualified from all honors in music for the 1970-71 school year. It is placed on probation for the 1971-72 school year for failure to file an acceptance card.

### WAELEDER HIGH

Waeleder High School has been placed on probation by the District Executive Committee for the 1972 season for violation of the Football Code.

### MOORE HIGH

Moore High School of Waco has been placed on probation in football for the 1972 season for violation of the Football Code.

### GRAND SALINE HIGH

Grand Saline High School has been placed on probation in girls' basketball for the 1971-72 season for violation of the Basketball Code.

### BASEBALL RULES, 1972

The League's baseball schools will use the "optional modification" regarding substitution. Base runners will not be required to wear helmets on the base paths.

### ALVARADO HIGH SCHOOL

Alvarado High School was placed on probation in one-act play for 1972 school year by the district executive committee for violation of the One-Act Play Contest Rules 1-3-2.

### DENVER CITY HIGH SCHOOL

Denver City High School was disqualified for district honors in boys' basketball for the 1971-72 season by the district executive committee for violation of the Basketball Code, Rule 11.

### BOVINA HIGH

The District 8A Executive Committee has disqualified Bovina High School in boys' basketball for the 1971-72 season for violations of Rule 11 of the Boys' Basketball Plan.

### ONE-ACT PLAY

One-Act Play Rule 2.a.2) "... Items called for in Rule 2.b.4." should read "Rule 1.b.5)."

Add to "An Approved List of Short Plays for Contest" in the HANDBOOK FOR ONE-ACT PLAY.

"Banker's Dilemma, The," Cleve Haubold, French, Drama, \$10, 2M-2W.

"Guns of Carrar, The," Bertold Brecht, French, Drama, \$25, 4M-3W.

"Ladies of the Tower," Tim Kelly, Drama, French, Drama, \$10, 4M-1W.

"Romulus," Alexandre Dumas, pere, French, Comedy, \$10, 4M-1W.

"Wildest Night of the Year, The," Robert C. Yoh, Drama, \$5, 1M-4W.

District Journalism contests will be conducted in the 1972 Spring Meet in these districts: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 in Conference AAA, and districts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 in Conference AAA.

### LA JOYA HIGH

The League's State Executive Committee has placed La Joya High School on probation in baseball for the 1972 season for violation of the Baseball Code.

### SAINT JO HIGH

Saint Jo High School has been suspended in One-Act Play for the 1971-72 school year for failing to participate in competition after filing acceptance card, under provisions of Rule 1-f-2.

### GIRLS BASKETBALL RULES

The 1970-71 DGWS Girls Basketball Rules with the League supplement will be used for the 1971-1972 girls' basketball season.

### TRAVIS HIGH (AUSTIN)

Wm. B. Travis High School Band of Austin was disqualified from Concert and Sight-reading contests for 1970-71 academic year, and suspended from University Interscholastic League Competition for the 1971-72 academic year for use of ineligible performers.

### SWIMMING RULES

The 1972 NCAA swimming rules will be used in all League swimming activities for the 1972-73 school year.

### SLIDE RULE

The accurapsed slide rule can not be legally used in League Slide rule competition.

### SPELLING LIST

Page 18, Column 15, first word should be "electrolyze."

Page 18, column 15, 38th word, should be "ethnocentrism."

Page 19, column 18, 38th word, should be "fructuousness."

### DISTRICT CONTEST DATES

No high school music contest events may be scheduled during the two weeks reserved for district spring meet competition—April 3-8 and April 19-24, 1972.

### JOURNALISM ACCEPTANCE CARDS

Journalism Acceptance Cards will not be required for the 1971 Spring Meet Journalism Contests. All League member high schools are eligible to enter the League Journalism contests without any acceptance card.

### SWIMMING LIST ADDITIONS

Add the following schools to the Girls' Official Swimming List.

Region I: (Amarillo), Amarillo, Caprock, Palo Duro, Tascosa.

Region II: Arlington, De Soto, Grand Prairie, Berkner (Richardson).

Region V: Bryan, Conroe.

Region VI: Miller (Corpus Christi), Del Valle (Austin).

Add the following schools to the Boys' Official Swimming List.

Region I: (Amarillo), Amarillo, Caprock, Palo Duro, Tascosa.

Region II: Burleson, De Soto, Berkner (Richardson).

Region V: Conroe, Bryan, Spring Wood, Westchester.

Region VI: Miller (Corpus Christi), Del Valle (Austin).

### DRAMA ADDITIONS

Add to the "1971-72 Accredited Critic Judges" Virginia W. Russell, Box 307, Eastland, 76441.

Add to "An Approved List of Short Plays for Contest" in the HANDBOOK FOR ONE-ACT PLAY.

"Banker's Dilemma, The," Cleve Haubold, French, Comedy, \$10, 2M-2W.

"Deceitful Marriage, The," Tim Kelly, Baker's, Comedy, \$5, 1M-4W.

"Romulus," Alexandre Dumas, pere, French, Comedy, \$10, 4M-1W.

These transfers APPLY TO ONE-ACT PLAY ONLY and do not affect other contests.

Region II—AAA 10-FORT WORTH: Carter-Riverside.

To: DIST. 9—with FORT WORTH: Dunbar, Eastern Hills, Wray.

Region II—A Transfer: DIST. 10—CROSS PLAINS: GOLDTHWAITE.

To: DIST. 16—with GLEN ROSE; ITASCA; VALLEY MILLS; WHITEY.

Transfer: DIST. 14—VAN ALSTYNE; WOLF CITY.

To: DIST. 10—with LAKE DALLAS; MUESTER; NOCONA.

Region I—B Transfer: DIST. 3—ESTELLINE; HEDLEY and DIST. 9—FLOMOT; JAYTON; Girard-Jayton; McAdoo.

To: DIST. 8—with MEADOW; NEW HOME; ROPEVILLE; Ropes; WILSON.

Region II—B Transfer: DIST. 14—BLUM; COVINGTON; RIO VISTA.

To: DIST. 13—with GRANFILLS GAF; HCO; MERIDIAN.

Transfer: DIST. 19—THROCKMORTON; WINDTHORST and DIST. 21—GRA-FORD.

To: DIST. 16—with GORMAN; TOLAR.

Region III—B Transfer: DIST. 23—CELINA and DIST. 24—LONE OAK and DIST. 25—ROXTON.

To: DIST. 22—with BELLS; SADBURY; S & S.

## High School Press



## Feb. 1 Deadline For Rating Issues

By DR. MAX R. HADDICK  
UIL Journalism Director

Deadlines are coming! Feb. 1 is the last date to get papers in for the rating service. This means you must get in a full set of your papers, in an envelope marked "FOR RATING" no matter how many you sent in before. We do not keep files of papers in this office.

Then on Feb. 28 you must have your entries in for the individual Achievement Awards Contests. You may send in two entries in each contest. I just mailed you a set of rules and other information. Read the rules carefully and get those entries in.

March 17-18 is the time for the state ILPC convention in Austin. We are over our heads in getting speakers, rooms, banquets, housing reservations, and a few hundred et ceteras. It looks like this will be the greatest year yet. I am predicting that 3,600 will register for the convention.

ILPC membership went over 500 for the first time in history. This is a great record. Now we shall shoot for 600, 700, ...

### Criticism Problems

Some of you ordered criticism and did not get them back quite as soon as we would like. Sorry about that. Our critics are working early and late to get them back. We had some tough situations. One critic tried to straddle a tree while skiing. The tree suffered no damage, but the critic was somewhat the worse for wear. Another critic had a severe infection and had to have a leg amputated. Another just plain didn't do the work and I had to get the papers back and do them myself. It has been a busy year. Will do my best to rush the remaining criticisms and make them quicker in the future.

### Magazine Format

I seem to have ruffled a few feathers when I said that I was not always happy with the results of "going magazine format". Said feathers were probably ruffled because toes appertaining thereunto had been heavily trod upon.

Magazine format is no panacea. Weak writing is weak writing, no matter how you lay it out. Gossip is gossip, no matter how much white space you leave around it. Bad pictures are even worse when run full page.

I am not prejudiced for nor against magazine format. It is a fine style, but not the only style. It will neither count extra for nor against any paper in the judging. The judges have been instructed to judge on basic journalistic excellence. Some magazine format papers will probably rate high, and some may rate low. It is not the format that dictates the rating.

### 'News' A Four-Letter Word

There seems to be a tendency in some papers to do away with news. This is a basic mistake, in my opinion. News is still the prime ingredient of any newspaper. No matter how good your features, pictures, cartoons, ads, etc., you still owe your readers a good budget of news of interest to your readers.

There is nothing new or wrong in news of coming events. A school

is a community. To have a community of interests the individuals must have foreknowledge of games, plays, concerts, meetings, pep rallies, and the thousand and one other happenings that bring a student body and faculty together.

### Pictures Of Value

If a man stubs his toe on a given rock a single time, one might call it an accident. If he stubs the same toe on the same rock a second time it could be said to indicate that he might be preoccupied, distracted, or even clumsy. If a paper runs one out-of-focus picture it could be that news or feature value outranked the faults in the shot. If that same paper runs dozens of out-of-focus pictures, then someone should ask "Why?" It is not wise to commit the same error repeatedly.

Photographers are a loquacious lot. They like to talk cameras, films, developers—all manner of things concerning picture taking. If any school photographer is having difficulty in getting good, sharp pictures he should go to his local newspaper, television or free-lance photographer and have a critique. I never met a commercial photographer who wasn't willing to help a novice. Ask for help and you will get it.

### Convention Plans

The ILPC convention on March 17-18 should be a wing-ding. It will have a variety show, featured speakers, photo session, ad sessions, public relations sessions, prizes, awards, commendations, criticisms and fun. More than 3,000 Texas scholastic journalists will be there to learn and to enjoy the session. Hope you can be there too.

This year there will be a required \$1 registration fee. I have sent order blanks to all member schools. You will not be permitted to attend any of the sessions without a registration badge. Sorry about that, but we gotta have cash to make the convention plans go.

### Criticisms

A criticism is of value only if properly received. The critics are not deities. They can be wrong. The newspaper staff receiving a critique should try to make it of value by analyzing what the critic said. Study the suggestions, then let your own good sense be your guide. You know your own situation better than any critic ever could. You have to make the final decisions. The criticisms help to indicate areas where improvement is needed, but you have to decide how.

Looking forward to seeing you at the convention.

## Financial Statement Needed

## Showing Athletics Expenses Can Help Eliminate Rumors

By DR. RHEA H. WILLIAMS  
Director

The League has always published a yearly financial statement showing the exact amount of receipts and expenditures for each fiscal year. We believe that League members should have an accurate accounting of how this organization's funds are derived and disbursed.

### False Ideas

Most administrators and coaches are so occupied with minute details that they do not find time to give the school patrons and other interested citizens accurate information about the school athletic program.

### No Information

The average person who goes to a high school football game and sees the large crowds attending gets the impression that public schools are "getting rich." In most cases they attend only games where large crowds are present. Few schools give out information on athletic receipts and expenditures. It is natural that many citizens would conclude that schools are deriving enormous net profit from athletic contests. Many people have the mis-

guided idea that the coach gets a part of the gate receipts, and do not know that the League has a rule forbidding such a policy.

### Many Costs

The average fan has no idea of the cost of athletic equipment for the various teams a school system may field. They do not know that the high school "A" team gate receipts must equip all "B" and Junior High School teams. They do not know that the cost of athletic insurance, transportation, meals, tape, bandages, first aid, game officials, scouting, public address system, and other such items come from gate receipts. In many cases bands and pep squads are financed by the athletic funds. Football receipts often carry baseball, track, golf, and other school activities not self-supporting.

### Due Public

Such a public report would cause administrators and coaches to pause momentarily and evaluate carefully all expenditures. Such items as scouting expenses, purchase of equipment, etc., would be given more consideration if administrators knew the items would be

publicized in detail to the citizens of their community. Such an annual report would serve as a caution to expenditures from athletic funds.

### Good Administration

It is the sincere hope of this author that all administrators will prepare an annual report of athletic receipts and expenditures incurred in the operation of their athletic program to give to their Board of Education and then to their local paper. This would do much to dispel false rumors—sometimes spread by well-meaning citizens but more often by disgr



Music Matters

Clinics to Stress Directors' Judging

By NELSON G. PATRICK

Traditionally, the New Year is the time to review the past and make plans for the ensuing months, but all too frequently we are too busy to look at the old objectively, or it is so close to us that we cannot eliminate our emotional feelings which might obfuscate our perspectives. This, I think is so often true when we try to review our selection of contest judges, standards of evaluation, and adjudication procedures.

Although I would like to write about more interesting happenings of the yule time season, by the time that you read this the second semester would be underway, and plans must be made for the adjudication clinics to be held during the TMEA Convention in Ft. Worth.

J. W. King, Band Chairman, has scheduled three sessions for holding band contest judging clinics. Each session will be limited to fifty participants on a first come basis.

The band judging clinics will follow about the same format as they have in the past with a few necessary alterations to maintain the Recommended Judges List and provide data for the study of judging procedures. The TMEA Committee for the judges' list need more information than our current data supply. Your cooperation with them will be appreciated.

**Criteria Named**

What are the criteria for a good contest judge? This question is frequently asked, but the answer is usually ambiguous and evasive depending on status of the person being asked. I am presenting for consideration three criteria which have evolved after over thirty years of contest participation, reading what others have said about the subject, and reading the comment sheets filed in the office.

1) A contest judge should have superior musicianship; e.g., those being judged should recognize that the judge has the musical competency to evaluate the results of their work, and that they are willing to place their professional career on the judges evaluation.

2) A judge must have a broader knowledge of the literature being performed than the director being judged. If the judge does not know the literature being presented to him for evaluation, he cannot make valid and constructive criticism for musical improvement.

3) A judge must have the temperament to fairly evaluate what he hears in the performance without bias, and to write constructive criticisms unaffected by personal musical prejudices.

**Selecting Judges**

In our system of selecting judges among our own people which means that we judge each other, it is difficult to maintain the professionalism suggested in the above criteria unless each judge disciplines himself to retain an objective attitude. On the other hand, the system has many merits. Among them is the opportunity for personal growth which is extended over a large percent of our directors. In judging other groups, the director is made aware of the importance of fundamentals which can be easily neglected in the process of striving for larger goals.

On the negative side of our system is the danger of losing individualism, a situation where organizations begin to sound like a carbon copy of each other which has given rise to the saying that all bands, or choirs, or orchestras, etc., have the same "glob of gray" sound.

The judges' clinics are designed to help maintain a high standard of performance and provide an equable evaluation, but provide an assurance of a continuum of growth for director and students.

**Tapes at Clinics**

For the clinics this year, thirty-five directors were contacted to supply tapes of contest performance. The purposes in using these tapes are to establish, as close as possible, realistic situations for evaluations within the limitations of the clinic situation. Names of the performing groups and the judges will be withheld. No progressive purpose could result in releasing this information. Furthermore, the hearing of the tape recording does not approximate the tensions, pressures, and excitement of the actual contest; therefore, comparisons can be of little value unless used objectively.

**Scores Needed**

Those band contest judges who wish to participate in the judging clinics are requested to bring the following scores:

FESTIVO—Nelhybel  
FANFARE, PASTORALE, AND SERENADE—Starer GREENSLEEVES—A. Reed  
CHESTER—Schuman  
LA FIESTA—O. Reed  
LINCOLNSHIRE POSEY—Grainger  
FESTIVAL—Williams  
DIVERGENTS—McBeth  
MINI-SUITE NO. 1—Haddad  
ELEGY FOR A YOUNG AMERICAN—Lo Presti

Each participant should have at least two sharpened #2 pencils and a scratch pad if desirable. Comment sheets will be provided by the UIL office.

Please be prepared to make constructive criticism covering any phase of the adjudication procedure.

Champs....

(Continued from Page 4)

Hereford (Hereford), 28, Pampa (Pampa) 20; Wichita Falls (Wichita Falls) 34, Cooper (Abilene) 21; Arlington (Arlington) 21, North Mesquite (Mesquite) 7; Eastern Hills (Fort Worth) 20, North Side (Fort Worth) 19;

Carter (Dallas) 24, White (Dallas) 14; Lake Highlands (Dallas) 14, Nacogdoches (Nacogdoches) 6; Killen (Killen) 28, Westchester (Houston) 18; Sam Houston (Houston) 20, Yates (Houston) 10; Lee (Houston) 14, Bellair (Houston) 0; Lincoln (Port Arthur) 36, Hebert (Beaumont) 6; Smiley (Houston) 21, Sterling (Baytown) 14;

Reagan (Austin) 21, El Campo (El Campo) 14; Alice (Alice) 21, Edinburg (Edinburg) 0; Seguin 14, Houston (San Antonio) 7; Lee (San Antonio) 34, Edgewood (San Antonio) 14.

**Regional Winners:** Hereford (Hereford) 29, Coronado (El Paso) 12; Wichita Falls (Wichita Falls) 28, Arlington (Arlington) 24; Carter (Dallas) 21, Eastern Hills (Fort Worth) 13;

Killeen (Killeen) 34, Lake Highlands (Dallas) 15; Lee (Houston) 13, Sam Houston (Houston) 7; Smiley (Houston) 16, Lincoln (Port Arthur) 12; Reagan (Austin) 21, Alice (Alice) 14; Lee (San Antonio) 32, Seguin (Seguin) 14.

**Quarter-Final Winners:** Wichita Falls (Wichita Falls) 28, Hereford 8; Carter (Dallas) 9, Killen (Killen) 0; Smiley (Houston) 29, Lee (Houston) 12; Lee (San Antonio) 19, Reagan (Austin) 14.

**Semi-Final Winners:** Wichita Falls 13, Carter (Dallas) 7; Lee (San Antonio) 19, Smiley (Houston) 6.

**Final Winners:** Lee San Antonio 28, Wichita Falls (Wichita Falls) 27.

Swimming....

(Continued from Page 4)

**100 YARD FREESTYLE:** 1. Rees Langston, Lee (Midland), :56.73. 2. Mona Schewpe, Bellaire (Houston), :57.29. 3. Shannon Strong, W. T. White (Dallas), :57.31. 4. Julie Morris, Grand Prairie, :57.60. 5. Elaina Gutowski, Paschal (Fort Worth), :57.69. 6. Mary Ann Meletio, Hillcrest (Dallas), :58.88.

**300 YARD FREESTYLE:** 1. Adrian Ridgeway, King (Corpus Christi), 3:09.74. New Record. 2. Teresa Corda, Denton, 3:19.03. 3. Pam Wright, King (Corpus Christi), 3:23.15; 4. Nancy Sanford, Highland Park (Dallas), 3:24.53. 5. Kerry Dowdle, Midland, 3:25.89. 6. Marty Adams, Lee (Midland), 3:31.00.

**100 YARD BACKSTROKE:** 1. Jan Bunch, Sam Houston (Arlington), 1:04.39. New Record. 2. Becky Coffey, MacArthur (San Antonio), 1:04.90. 3. Pat Reeve, Bellaire (Houston), 1:07.68. 4. Cindy Bradley, Arlington Hts. (Fort Worth), 1:08.21. 5. Carolyn Weiss, Reagan (Houston), 1:08.59. 6. Mary Thornhill, Alamo Hts. (San Antonio), 1:09.52.

**100 YARD BREASTROKE:** 1. Berry Boggs, Westlake (Austin), 1:11.52. New Record. 2. Sandy Zirkelbach, Richardson, 1:13.35. 4. Beth McCreary, Clear Creek (League City), 1:15.12. 5. Lindy Tope, Lee (Midland), 1:17.01. 6. Nancy Robertson, Burnet, 1:18.12.

**400 YARD FREESTYLE:** LAY: 1. Bellaire (Houston), (Marter, McDaniel, McLean, Schewpe), 3:51.55. New Record. 2. Lee (Midland), (Bateman, Nelson, Adams, Langston), 3:52.68. 3. Lee (San Antonio), (Taylor, Taylor, Hayden, Fuller), 4:01.40. 4. Richardson, (son), 4:01.55. 5. Hillcrest, (Dallas), (Meletio, Hoskins, McCormick, Quantan), 4:02.67. 6. Alamo Height (San Antonio), (McPherson, McAlpin, Johns, Thornhill), 4:05.71.

**TEAM TOTALS:** 1. Bellaire (Houston)—48; 2. Lee (Midland)—45; 3. Richardson—19.

University Interscholastic League

Annual Financial Report—Sept. 1, 1970-Aug. 31, 1971

UNIVERSITY INTERSCHOLASTIC LEAGUE  
Annual Financial Report Sept. 1, 1970-Aug. 31, 1971

BALANCES:	
RE-APPROPRIATED BALANCES	
BROUGHT FORWARD:	
General Fee Account	\$ 62,541.71
Football Account	50,782.26
Press Conference Account	3,553.73
	116,877.70
RECEIPTS:	
Membership Fees	\$41,199.50
Bulletin, Material Sales and Drama Fines	66,314.46
Gate Receipts, Broadcasting Rights, (Boys and Girls Basketball, Football, Volleyball, Baseball, Spring Meet)	\$177,796.63
Miscellaneous Sales	20,158.74
ILPC Criticism and Membership Fees	20,223.57
	\$325,692.90
TOTAL TO BE ACCOUNTED FOR	\$442,570.60

DISBURSEMENTS:	
Maintenance, Supplies and Equipment	\$ 25,216.98
Rebate and Travel paid to member schools	73,366.90
Services not appropriated	111,430.58
Printing	65,365.47
Postage, Express and Miscellaneous	6,699.50
Encumbrances carried forward	965.97

BALANCES RE-APPROPRIATED	
General Fee Account	\$ 95,124.26
Football Account	63,282.22
Press Conference Account	1,118.81
	\$159,525.29
TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS AND RE-APPROPRIATIONS:	\$442,570.60

GIRLS' BASKETBALL TOURNAMENT	
Feb. 26-27, 1971	
Receipts	
Ticket Sales	\$16,556.50
Program Sales	479.50
Radio Broadcasting	153.75
	\$17,189.75

Disbursements	
Services (All Types)	\$ 2,066.75
Programs	653.65
Mileage Rebate	788.20
Tickets	660.00
Officials	660.00
Trophies	500.50
Medals	535.90

Postscripts....

(Continued from Page 4)

tion but add nothing to the boys' desire to work harder!

The opposite of satisfaction with the job or what you are doing is not dissatisfaction but rather no job satisfaction. Similarly, the opposite of job dissatisfaction is not job satisfaction.

KITA of all forms would be classed as hygiene for it is applied externally. You may eliminate such practices and still not have athletes who are satisfied or motivated. All wind sprints may be dispensed with and four water breaks a day may be given, but unless a boy is really motivated he will not give his all, all the time, in a workout or a game. Granted you must keep dissatisfiers to a minimum and be concerned with hygiene factors; but this is all many coaches are ever concerned with or seem to understand.

If KITA is not motivation and the elimination of KITA does not motivate the athlete, student, or assistant, what does?

**Motivators**

Motivation must be generated internally! Herzberg contends that to motivate people in their work they must be given responsibility, a chance for personal achievement as well as a chance for team achievement, a chance for growth, a chance for advancement and a chance for learning. This process is also referred to as self-actualization, and it varies for each individual.

Every boy that you have cannot be a quarterback, but he may be a nose guard, an end, a manager, or a "blue dart!" The important thing is that he is something. Many of these opportunities are inherent in athletics; inherent, that is, unless the coach eliminates some of the opportunities for motivation.

What are some of the practices that would tend to be classed as motivators?

1. Leadership opportunities such as rotating game captains, serving as team leaders during season and in off-season; and handling of certain types of discipline cases. Also, leaving the pre-game talks to elected or accepted leaders of the team (some suggest coach leave the room and that this be turned over to the boys themselves) and turn the complete program for emotionally "firing up" the team over to the team itself. You may lead a horse to water, but you can't make him drink.

2. Learning opportunities that may be provided are allowing each boy to grade himself on films; having group discussions on assignments, i.e., strong side of line, backs, ends, etc; encouraging linemen to talk to each other at the line, and if

necessary point out blocks. Personal achievement, team achievement, growth and a chance for learning are all inherent in the learning process and playing of the games. There are many opportunities for boys to grow and learn above and beyond what most coaches offer them.

3. Competition between groups within the team may also contribute to team achievement and growth. A point system may be devised for offensive group and defensive group. After each game film is graded, the points for each group can be compared.

**Up to Coach**

As is readily apparent from the preceding discussion, a major difference in KITA and motivation involves the point of impetus—does the coach apply KITA externally, or does he provide the setting for motivation to be generated internally by each individual? Many procedures other than the ones enumerated above are available that can be motivators and not hygiene factors. The solving of the dissatisfiers alone is not enough. Those who get this something extra are the one who "gut up" when the going gets tough and they will win when everything else is equal.

**Theories Help**

The coach who understands this theory of the motivation-behavior relationship will be well on his way to implementing successful pragmatic procedures which "get the job done," more than the coach who looks at the problem as separate, isolated assignments which are carried out without really knowing why. He will understand that if movement is what he wants, KITA will work quite well. But if motivation is his goal, he must provide the proper setting for it to be generated from within the individual. It cannot be inserted externally!

**Editors Note**

This article was composed by Dr. Joe Burchard and Mr. Bailey Marshall. Mr. Marshall and Dr. Burchard are both ex-coaches. Mr. Marshall is presently serving as Athletic Director of the Interscholastic League of Texas and Dr. Burchard is the principal at North East Independent School District's Churchill High School in San Antonio.

The basic idea and theory was derived from an article by Frederick Herzberg. Herzberg's article "One More Time: How Do You Motivate Employees?" was published in the January-February Harvard Review.

Utilities	1,597.91
Lodging Rebate	1,968.00
	\$ 8,880.31
TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS	\$ 8,880.31
Balance due the 16 Participating Teams	\$ 8,309.44
Due each team	\$ 519.34

GIRLS' VOLLEYBALL TOURNAMENT	
April 23, 24, 1971	
RECEIPTS:	
Ticket Sales	\$1,886.50
Program Sales	108.75

TOTAL RECEIPTS	
DISBURSEMENTS:	
Service (All Types)	\$ 535.43
Programs	305.00
Tickets	24.00
Volleyballs	26.85
Officials	125.00
Trophies	514.05
Medals	429.00
Utilities	105.00

TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS	\$2,063.4
LOSS	(69.08)
TOTAL	\$1,995.25

STATE BASEBALL TOURNAMENT	
June 10 and 11, 1971	
FINANCIAL REPORT	
RECEIPTS:	
Gate Receipts	\$7,002.00
Program Sales	219.50
Broadcasting Rights	101.03

TOTAL RECEIPTS	
DISBURSEMENTS:	
Programs and Tickets	\$ 413.40
Services Rendered	651.57
Rental of Nelson Field	250.00
Officials Services	305.00
Mileage Rebate	352.40
Baseballs	128.00
Trophies and Medals	470.40

TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS	\$2,570.77
BALANCE DUE PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS	\$4,751.76

BALANCE DUE EACH TEAM:	\$ 593.97
------------------------	-----------

STATE BOYS' BASKETBALL TOURNAMENTS	
March 5, 6, 1971—AAA, AA, A	
March 12, 13, 1971—AAAA, B	
RECEIPTS:	
Ticket Sales	\$48,459.50
Program Sales	1,076.25
Broadcasting Rights	340.05
TOTAL RECEIPTS	\$49,875.80

DISBURSEMENTS:	
Service (All Types)	\$ 7,979.49
Programs	1,076.25
Mileage Rebate	924.10
Tickets	468.92
Basketballs	149.70
Officials	1,800.00
Trophies	595.50
Medals	575.00
Pictures	330.00
Utilities	4,742.64
Lodging Rebate	2,184.00
TOTAL DISBURSEMENT	\$20,825.60

BALANCE DUE 20 PARTICIPATING TEAMS	29,050.20
TOTAL	\$49,875.80

DUE EACH TEAM	\$ 1,452.51
---------------	-------------

State Basketball Tourney Dates Set for Girls, Boys

The Girls and Boys State Basketball Tournament will be held on three weekends. The Girls Tournament will be February 24, 25 and 26 for all conferences.

Boys Conferences A, AA and AAA will combine their play in the State Tournament on March 2, 3 and 4.

The Boys State Tournament for Conferences AAAA and B will be March 10 and 11.

District champions must be decided two weeks earlier in each case. The Girls district deadline date in all conferences is February 12. The A, AA and AAA Boys deadline date is February 19; AAAA and B deadline date for certifying district champions in February 26.

The deadlines for certifying regional champions are February 19 for girls in A, AA and AAA; February 26 for AAAA boys; and March 4 for B boys.

MARCHING CONTEST							
RATINGS							
CONF.	I	II	III	IV	V	DNA	TOTAL
AAAA	80	49	19	2	1	2	153
	52.28	32.02	12.41	1.30	.65	1.30	
2nd Groups	3	2					5
	60.00	40.00					
AAA	45	53	19	3	0	2	122
2nd Groups		1					1
		100					
AA	50	67	50	11	0	2	180
	27.77	37.22	27.77	6.11	0	1.10	
A	30	37	42	15	3	.78	
	23.48	28.91	32.81	11.71	2.34	.78	
B	5	13	14	6	0	0	38
	13.15	34.21	36.84	15.78			
	57.14	25.00	10.71	3.57		3.57	
CCC	16	7	3	1	0	1	28
	57.14	25.00	10.71	3.57		3.57	
CC	4	9	7	0	0	1	21
	19.04	42.85	33.33		0	4.76	
C	12	13	7	2	0	0	34
	35.29	38.23	20.58	5.88			
Totals	245	250	162	40	4	9	710
	35.77	35.21	22.81	5.63	.56	1.26	

Educational Theatre



801 OAP Entries Sets New Record

By LYNN MURRAY  
State Drama Director

Congratulations to the 801 entries in the 1971-72 One-Act Play Contest. The League drama program grows each year in quality and quantity. When I came to the State Office, I was told that the contest had matured and numerical growth was impossible. Although primarily interested in quality, I am delighted by the yearly numerical increase. This is surprising when one is aware that growth potential decreases each year. League high school membership has decreased by 25 schools due to consolidations in the past two years.

Over 71% of the high schools in Texas now participate in the One-Act Play Contest. This represents an increase of about 25% in the total potential in ten years, an addition of 262 schools to the 539 entries of 1962. Actual numerical growth in ten years is up almost 50%. The 801 OAP enrollment is an increase of 30 schools over last year and includes 50 schools that did not participate. A special award should be given to those 50 districts with 100% participation.

**District Transfers**

It was necessary to make a number of district transfers this spring. These transfers provide more competition, reduce expenses incurred by schools at area contests and reduce the number of schools at regional contests. District transfers apply to one-act play contests only, and do not affect other Spring Meet activities.

District transfers are listed in Official Notices.

**Title Entry Cards**

The pink OAP Title Entry Card is the next step for one-act play directors. On Feb. 1, the Official One-Act Play Title Entry Card will be mailed to the Drama Director named on the OAP Enrollment Card. If you have not received this pink Title Entry Card by Feb. 15, write the State Office. Deadline for return of this card is March 2.

Each director is encouraged to complete his card with the title of his play and return it to the State Office immediately. Don't wait until the deadline. Return the card with the title of a play from the "Approved Lists" or a title approved during the 1971-72 school year by the Play Appraisal Committee. Note the six new approved plays listed in Official Notices.

**Play Approvals**

Drama Directors wishing to produce plays not on the Approved Lists must submit to the Play Appraisal Committee an exact copy of the script no later than Feb. 14. Author and publisher must be noted on typed or mimeographed scripts. Also any set additions that you consider essential to understanding of the play must be submitted at the same time in accordance with the set rule. Refer to OAP Rule 2,a, and 2,b,5), in the new HANDBOOK FOR ONE-ACT PLAY.

**Library Load Delays**

Because of changes in the physical structure of the Drama Loan Library and a major reorganization of the scripts available, the Drama Loan Library is experiencing a more difficult January than usual. Business is booming and it is impossible to fill play orders as fast as we would like. If you have waited until now to make your contest play selection and have an order in the library, be patient. We will get plays to you as soon as possible.

We have sufficient copies of plays on the Approved Lists to fill most orders, except those plays published by Dramatists Play Service. This publisher has failed to supply us with needed reading copies of their plays.

**Correct Play Listing**

It would help the library staff if you would list plays by title in alphabetical order, use zip codes and send the \$.25 for postage and handling.

Gift, Award Rule Cited

A school shall be ineligible for League honors in any League activity in which a school sponsor or coach accepts from any nonschool source cash or valuable consideration in the excess of \$200 for directing any League-sponsored event or activity. Exception: Scholarships for sponsor or coaches to college or university or awards upon retirement from the profession.

A school shall be ineligible for League honors in any League activity in which a school sponsor or coach enters an individual or a team from the sponsor's school in a meet or tournament where cash or valuable consideration is offered to the sponsor or coach.

Intent to deliberately involve a school by a sponsor or coach shall be evaluated by the committee having jurisdiction.

ing with each order. Play orders received without the \$.25 fees are filled last because they take more time to process.

You may list your first ten choices in alphabetical order by title and then give us alternates at the end of your preferences. It will allow us to send you a full order of ten plays if some choices are not available. This is especially true when you request titles published by Dramatists Play Service.

**Planning Meetings**

Note the additional Planning Meeting Directors listed in this LEAGUER. If you do not find your conference and district in this listing or those listed in the December issue, ask your administration to contact your District Director General for the name of the responsible person. Planning Meeting information has been sent to all Planning Meeting Directors identified to the State Office.

**Critic Judging**

Critic judges must be told if they are being asked to serve on a panel of judges. This office has received several notes from critic judges indicating they have been contracted to judge on a panel without being told. The State Office will support any critic judge who refuses to judge when he arrives at a contest site and discovers he is a member of a panel, unless the critic judge has been specifically contracted to judge as a member of a panel.

Critic judges should also be told the conference and zone, district or region he is being contracted to judge. He must have this information in order to avoid judging to contests involving some of the same participants. An explanation can be found in the "Guide for the One-Act Play Contest Critic Judge," page 19 of the HANDBOOK.

**Participation List**

The 1970-71 One-Act Play Contest participation list is available to anyone requesting a copy. This list includes the schools, directors, plays produced, winners and zip codes of the 1970-71 participants. This office also has available the 1971-72 Texas College and University Drama Faculty Directory. It is available upon request.

I have not yet received information concerning the annual Buffalo Masquers Drama Workshop usually held in late January, at West Texas State University in Canyon. If you are interested in this workshop, contact the Department of Speech at WTSU for the time and date. Mr. Kimmerling, Mr. Moore or Dr. Walker will know the details of the workshop.

**Winning Play Festival**

Winning plays in the Southwest will be produced at the Region 5 American College Theatre Festival from Jan. 19-22, at the Scott Theatre in Fort Worth. Drama Directors in the Fort Worth-Dallas area are encouraged to take students to see as many of these plays as possible. For group admission rates contact: Director, Scott Theatre, 3505 Lancaster, Fort Worth 76107.


The Texas Educational Theatre Association and The Texas Secondary Theatre Conference will hold their annual convention, Feb. 11-12, in San Marcos at Southwest Texas State University. Drama Directors will profit from the planned activities. The Texas Section of the US Institute for Theatre Technology will hold their next meeting following the TETA/TSTC convention on Feb. 12. A workshop in plastics and styrofoam is planned and election of officers will be held at the Texas Section, USITT meeting.

**Read The Rules**

Drama Directors should read the Spring Meet Plan on pages 32-39 of the CONSTITUTION AND CONTEST RULES. This explanation will help you understand how the League operates and how the One-Act Play Contest fits into the organization. The Spring Meet Plan and the HANDBOOK FOR ONE-ACT PLAY DIRECTORS, JUDGES AND CONTEST MANAGERS, Sixth Edition, should answer most questions about OAP participation. Do not hesitate to call on me if you have problems or questions that you can't handle.

GOOD SHOW and Happy New Year to all 801 companies!






ROBERT E. LEE HIGH SCHOOL (SAN ANTONIO) VOLUNTEERS TAKE AAAA CROWN—FRONT ROW, Left to Right: Grady Luttman (Student Trainer), James Penrod, John Gorham, Don Stephens, William Campa, Bruce Jones, Ron Moeller, Eddie Palacios, Greg Golchin, Steve Potts, Mike Grandos, Larry Leos (Mgr.). SECOND ROW: Pete Morales (Student Trainer), Stan Slomshinski, Jimmy Baros, Kempe Hayes, Joe Little, Wayne Bulgerin, Bill Shiller, Steve Spaeth, Kyle Crawford, Allen Robertson, David Cummings, Daryl Golsch (Mgr.), David Strahan (Mgr.). THIRD ROW: Coach John Ferrara, Coach Keith Hunt, Coach Roy Sawyer, Coach Charles Doyle, Darrell Richey, Ken Murphy, Mike Flowers, Richard Ojeda, Steve Harmon, Gary Scheffler, Bob Coles, Pat Rockett, Jimmy Burkholder, Tommy Burkholder, Coach Gene Qualls, Coach Mike Crocker, Coach Elton Churchill, Coach Shane LeSturgeon. FOURTH ROW: Gary Haack, Tommy Kramer, Steve Voltz, Alan Lenz, Bruce Trimier, Richard Osborne, Dennis King, Reid Whiten, Bill Fry, Joe Coldway.




PLANO WILDCATS WON THE CONFERENCE AAA STATE GRID TITLE—FRONT ROW, Left to Right: Kevin Clowe (Mgr.), Don Standard, David Melson, Travis McIntyre, Grady Dunbar, Eugene Majors, Ricky Standberry, Bill Standberry, Glen Sanders, Steve Wilson, Ken Bigger, Jackie Williams, Pat Thomas, Paul Leverington (Mgr.). SECOND ROW: John Chetelat (Mgr.), Gary Price, Brad McKeefer, Brad Williams, Zachary Hilburn, Doyle Terry, Ross Condit, Jeff Braumbaugh, Paul Tate, Van Nall, Walter Williams, Chris Spies, Richard Cathey (Mgr.). BACK ROW: Jim Lyle (Mgr.), Robin Applewhite, Earl Allen, Chip Armstrong, Vic Brooks, Mike Jones, Robert Markey, Van Davis, Mike Wood, Bobby Gaddis, Mark Chaddick, Mike Avery, Rucker Lewis, Brendon Markey (Mgr.).



JACKSBORO HIGH SCHOOL WON CONFERENCE AA STATE TITLE—FRONT ROW, Left to Right: Mike Zuber (Mgr.), Guinn Simons (Mgr.), Lewis Baker (Mgr.). SECOND ROW: Coach James Wade, Jerry Mower, Monte Garner, Bill Dennis, Rusty Sain, Dean Leach, Harold Horton, Dewayne Leach, Wayne Pickett, Sunny Strain, Coach Mike Atkins. THIRD ROW: Steve Fulbright, Bill Brown, Reggie Foster, Randy Jackson, Perry Applewhite, Rickey Peritt, Roy Leach, Rollo Boster, Dennis Pharis, Lex Henderson, Coach Toby Wood. BACK ROW: Coach Bill Hicks, John Dykes, Harlow Maxwell, Richard Milton, Ronald Colbert, Govern Lewis, Lee Cook, Richard Colbert, Reggie Kennedy, Larry McClure, Clippie Williams, Philip Blevins.



BARBERS HILL PIRATES OF MONT BELVIEU WON CLASS A CO-CHAMPIONSHIP FOR 1971—FRONT ROW, left to right: Emory Cox, Wayne Folis, Kenneth Barrow, David Hoffman, Beaver Trousdale, Mike Wheeler. SECOND ROW: James Tilton, Ricky Irby, Luke Durdin, Mike Pomykal, Johnny Hues, Kenny Fisher. THIRD ROW: Lee Kaderli, Steve McCorquodale, Dusty Kemp, Glen Foster, Chris Thibodeaux, Elmo Camp. FOURTH ROW: David Finch (Mgr.), David Eaves, Kenneth Douchette, Jabo Leonard, Mike Armstrong, Larry Mattingly, Robbie Wright (Mgr.). BACK ROW: Coach Carrell Richardson, James Schultz (Mgr.), Coach Robert Capello, Coach Gene Sharp.



SONORA BRONCOS SHARE THE 1971 CNFERENCE A FOOTBALL CHAMPIONSHIP—FRONT ROW, left to right: Freddy Virgin, Freddy Gonzales, Mark Rousselot, Steve Street, Wade Richardson, Eddie Alexander. SECOND ROW: John Beckham, Jimmy Galindo, Rich Hill, Tyron Fields, Wesley Richardson, Rick Street. THIRD ROW: Frank Taylor, John Weston, Jack Sykes, Tim Cole, Willie Fay, Joe Gutierrez. FOURTH ROW: Gene Trainer, Mike Dilland, Ladd Turner, William Thompson, Bruce Kerbow, Milton Noel. BACK ROW: Gene Thompson, Bruce Baldwin, Beto Villarreal, Joe Eustace, Henry Mata, Richard Besnal. Not shown: Coaches Bill Scoggins, Wayne Shaw, Jerry Phillips, Jerry Horn and Managers John Wade, Rudd Berger, Chuck Brown and Jerry Johns.

## San Antonio Lee, Plano, Jacksboro, Barbers Hill, Sonora Grid Winners

Sonora, Barbers Hill of Mont Belvieu, Jacksboro, Plano, and Robert E. Lee of San Antonio won the Texas 1971 football championships of A, AA, AAA and AAAA.

### Conference A

Sonora and Barbers Hill battled to a low-scoring 3-3 tie to share the Conference A championship.

In bi-district Sonora defeated DeLeon, 47-0, to advance to the regional against Nocona, and won that 34-7. In the quarter-finals Sonora won over Crowley, 19-12. In the semi-final Sonora beat White Deer by one point, 28-19.

Barbers Hill won over West Sabine of Pineland, 33-8, in the bi-district contest, and went on to defeat Hubbard, 21-6, in the regional game. In the quarter-finals Barbers Hill eliminated White Oak, 14-3. They continued their winning ways by edging out Schulenburg in a 14-14 game that was decided on penetrations.

### Conference AA

Jacksboro rolled up 49 points to Bridgeport's 14 to advance to Conference AA regional. There Jacksboro defeated Clifton, 30-0. In the quarter-finals Jacksboro edged out

### Conference AAA

Plano outscored Azle, 40-7, to win its AAA bi-district contest. Jacksonville fell to Plano, 18-17, in the quarter-final game. In semi-finals Plano defeated Brownwood, 10-8. In the championship AAA game the Plano team eked out a 21-20 win over Gregory-Portland of Portland.

### Conference AAAA

In Conference AAAA Robert E. Lee of San Antonio defeated Edgewood of San Antonio, 34-14. In quarter-finals the Lee team won over Austin Reagan, 19-14. Smiley of Houston fell to Lee's team, 19-6, in the semi-finals. In the championship game the Lee team worked hard to win a one-point, 28-27, game over Wichita Falls High School.

### Conference A

District Winners: White Deer (White Deer), Memphis (Memphis), Vega (Vega), New Deal (New Deal), Plains (Plains), Iraan

(Iraan), Albany (Albany), Holliday (Holliday), Sonora (Sonora), DeLeon (DeLeon), Aledo (Aledo), Nocona (Nocona), Wylie (Wylie), Van Alstyne (Van Alstyne), Crowley (Crowley), Valley Mills (Valley Mills), Maud (Maud), Kerens (Kerens), White Oak (White Oak), Timpson (Timpson), West Sabine (Pineland), Barbers' Hill (Mont Belvieu),

Corrigan (Corrigan), Hubbard (Hubbard), Willis (Willis), Tidehaven (El Maton), Schulenburg (Schulenburg), Thrall (Thrall), Comfort (Comfort), Somers (Somerset), Falls City (Falls City), Riviera (Riviera).

Bi-District Winners: White Deer 48, Memphis 7; New Deal 7, Vega 7 (Penetrations); Iraan 45, Plains 6; Albany 14, Holliday 12; Sonora 47, DeLeon 0; Nocona 34, Aledo 0; Wylie 38, Van Alstyne 6; Crowley 14, Valley Mills 6;

Kerens 34, Maud 0; White Oak 35, Timpson 0; Barbers' Hill (Mont Belvieu) 33, West Sabine (Pineland) 8; Hubbard 40, Corrigan 20; Tidehaven (El Maton) 48, Willis 27; Schulenburg 36, Thrall 12; Somers 41, Comfort 19; Falls City 45, Riviera 12.

Regional Winners: White Deer 34, New Deal 13; Albany 20, Iraan 14; Sonora 34, Nocona 7; Crowley 30, Wylie 0; White Oak 44, Kerens 7; Barbers Hill (Mont Belvieu) 21, Hubbard 6; Schulenburg 15, Tidehaven (El Maton) 12; Falls City 24, Somerset 9.

Quarter-Final Winners: White Deer 18, Albany 0; Sonora 19, Crowley 12; Barbers Hill (Mont Belvieu) 14, White Oak 3; Schulenburg 15, Falls City 14.

Semi-Final Winners: Sonora 20, White Deer 19; Barbers Hill (Mont Belvieu) 14, Schulenburg 14 (Barbers Hill won on Penetrations).

Final Winners: Sonora 3, Barbers Hill (Mont Belvieu) 3, (Tie).

### Conference AA

District Winners: Phillips (Phillips), Childress, Littlefield, Roosevelt (Lubbock), Coahoma, Alpine, Stamford, Eastland, Llano, Clifton, Jacksboro, Bridgeport,

DeSota, Kaufman, Winnesboro, Linden-Kildare (Linden), Hallsville, Diboll, Rosebud-Lott (Rosebud), Caldwell, Kirbyville, Hampshire (Hampshire),

Klein (Spring), Tomball, Smithville, Needville, Judson (Converse), Hondo, Refugio, Rockport-Fulton (Rockport), Premont, Los Fresnos.

Bi-District Winners: Childress 37, Phillips (Borger) 7; Roosevelt (Lubbock) 27, Littlefield 7; Coahoma 34, Alpine 15; Eastland 25, Stamford 8;

Clifton 21, Llano 0; Jacksboro 49, Bridgeport 14; DeSota 13, Kaufman 6; Linden-Kildare (Linden) 34, Winnsboro 13; Diboll 19, Hallsville 2; Rosebud-Lott (Rosebud) 19, Caldwell 6; Kirbyville 54, Hampshire-Fannett (Hampshire) 24; Tomball 20, Klein (Spring) 8; Needville 14, Smithville 7; Hondo 41, Judson (Converse) 12; Refugio

### Right or Wrong?

Why is it that we are quick to see that negative KITA is not motivation, while we are almost unanimous in claiming that positive KITA is motivation? Negative KITA is similar to being "dragged," and positive KITA is similar to being "led astray." It is worse to be led astray than to be dragged! Being dragged is an unfortunate occurrence while the latter signifies you are a party to your own downfall. This is why positive KITA is so popular. It has become the American way. You kick yourself, the coach or team does not have to kick you.

Why is KITA not motivation? If you kick your dog, from the front or back, he will move. And when you want him to move again, what must you do? You must kick him again. Similarly, you can charge a boy's battery, and then recharge it and recharge it again. But it is only when he has his own generator that we can talk about motivation. He then needs no outside stimulation. Why is it that the 120 pound endurance all that is offered, usually with little or no encouragement, and comes back for more? He wants to do it!

### Another Theory

How do you install a generator in an athlete, student or employee? Herzberg's motivation-hygiene theory suggests that the factors involved in producing satisfaction (motivation) are separate and distinct from factors that lead to dissatisfaction (hygiene). These two feelings, satisfaction and dissatisfaction, are not opposites of each other. The abolishment of factors which produce dissatisfaction do not necessarily produce satisfaction. The abolition of wind sprints may well relieve some dissatisfaction.

(See POSTSCRIPTS page 3)

## Postscripts on Athletics

## Motivating Athletes Requires Psychology

By BAILEY MARSHALL  
State Athletic Director

The psychology of motivation is tremendously complex. There is little that can be accepted with any degree of assurance in this area. Yet, the lack of dependable knowledge in this area has not dampened the enthusiasm for new forms of snake oil that are constantly being espoused by coaches at all levels. How often do we do things a given way "because it works" or "because it worked for someone else," and with little or no understanding of why it works?

All coaches are interested in motivating athletes. How do you get athletes to carry out assignments in practice and in the games with maximum effort? Coaches at every level throughout the nation are anxious for quick and practical answers, so we will begin with a straight-forward, practical formula for moving people. What is the simplest, surest, and most direct way of getting someone to do something?

Every group contains the direct action coach who shouts, "Kick Him!" This type of coach is right! The surest and most direct way of getting a boy to do something is to kick him in the pants . . . give him what Herzberg calls KITA. There are various forms of KITA, and here are some of them.

### NEGATIVE PHYSICAL KITA

—This is a literal application of the term and was frequently used in the past. It has, however, three major drawbacks: it is inelegant, it contradicts the image that schools cherish and since it is a physical attack it directly stimulates the autonomic nervous system, and this often results in negative feedback . . . the boy or his parent may kick you in return!

### NEGATIVE PSYCHOLOGICAL

—The psychologist has come to the rescue of coaches who are no longer permitted to use negative physical KITA. The coach now has a number of ways with which the KITA may be administered psychologically instead of physically—"If you don't do this you will run laps." "We won't have a water break if this is not done right." "You guys couldn't beat my three daughters the way you play!"

### Advantages

This approach has several advantages over negative physical KITA. First, the cruelty is not visible. The bleeding is internal and comes much later. Second, since it affects the brain with its inhibitory powers, it reduces the possibility of physical backlash. Third, since the number of psychological pains that a person can feel is infinite, the direction and site possibilities of the KITA are increased many times. Fourth, those who practice it receive some ego satisfaction. And finally, if the boy complains, he can be accused of being paranoid since there is no tangible evidence of an actual attack.

Now what does negative KITA really accomplish? If I kick you in the rear, physically or psychologically, who is motivated? I am motivated; you move! Negative KITA does not lead to motivation, but to movement.

POSITIVE KITA—if I say to you, "Do this for me or the team and in return I will give you a reward, an incentive, or more status," am I motivating you? Usually the overwhelming opinion is, "Yes, this is motivation." But is it really?

In training a dog or other animal the principle of stimulus-response learning is used. When you want the animal to do something you bribe it with a biscuit or piece of meat. Who is motivated, you or the

18, Rockport-Fulton (Rockport) 0; Premont 15, Los Fresnos 14.

Regional Winners: Childress 14, Roosevelt (Lubbock) 6; Eastland 56, Coahoma 30; Jacksboro 30, Clifton 0; Linden-Kildare (Linden) 26, DeSota 14;

Rosebud-Lott (Rosebud) 34, Diboll 0; Tomball 26, Kirbyville 24; Hondo 34, Needville 7; Refugio 42, Premont 0.

Quarter-Final Winners: Eastland 22, Childress 0; Jacksboro 10, Linden-Kildare (Linden) 7; Rosebud-Lott (Rosebud) 20, Tomball 0; Hondo 14, Refugio 6.

Semi-Final Winners: Jacksboro 17, Eastland 6; Rosebud-Lott (Rosebud) 30, Hondo 7.

Final Winners: Jacksboro 20, Rosebud-Lott (Rosebud) 14.

### Conference AAA

District Winners: Canyon Canyon, Andrews (Andrews), Estacado (Lubbock), Brownwood (Brownwood), Azle (Azle), Plano (Plano), Daingerfield (Daingerfield),

Jacksonville (Jacksonville), Athens (Athens), Brenham (Brenham), Silsbee (Silsbee), Wharton (Wharton), Lockhart (Lockhart), Uvalde (Uvalde), Gregory-Portland (Gregory), Raymondville (Raymondville).

Bi-District Winners: Andrews 21, Canyon 21 (Penetrations); Brownwood 7, Estacado (Lubbock) 6; Plano 40, Azle 7; Jacksonville 15, Daingerfield 7;

Brenham 29, Athens 18; Silsbee 36, Wharton 17; Lockhart 21, Uvalde 6; Gregory-Portland (Gregory) 33, Raymondville 0.

Quarter-Final Winners: Brownwood 38, Andrews 0; Plano 18, Jacksonville 17; Brenham 21, Silsbee 19; Gregory-Portland (Gregory) 33, Lockhart 22.

Semi-Final Winners: Plano 10, Brownwood 8; Gregory-Portland (Gregory) 49, Brenham 22.

Final Winners: Plano 21, Gregory-Portland (Gregory) 20.

### Conference AAAA

District Winners: Coronado El Paso, Bowie (El Paso), Pampa (Pampa), Hereford (Hereford), Cooper (Abilene), Wichita Falls (Wichita Falls),

Arlington (Arlington), North Mesquite (Mesquite), Eastern Hills (Fort Worth), North Side (Fort Worth), White (Dallas), Carter (Dallas), Lake Highlands (Dallas), Nacogdoches (Nacogdoches),

Killeen (Killeen), Westchester (Houston), Houston (Houston), Yates (Houston), Lee (Houston), Bellaire (Houston), Herbert (Beaumont), Lincoln (Port Arthur),

(Houston), Sterling (Baytown), El Campo (El Campo), Reagan (Austin), Alice (Alice), Edinburg (Edinburg), Seguin (Seguin), Houston (San Antonio), Lee (San Antonio), Edgewood (San Antonio).

Bi-District Winners: Coronado (El Paso) 17, Bowie (El Paso) 8; (See CHAMPS page 3)

## Bellaire Girls Race To Swim Championship

Bellaire High School Girls' 400 yard Freestyle Relay Team raced to a record breaking 3:51.55 to nip the Lee Relay Team in the last event and sew up the team championship for Bellaire. Going into the 400 yard Freestyle Relay, Bellaire trailed Lee by one point.

Records were broken in ten of the eleven events. Bellaire established records in both relays, the 200 yard Medley Relay and the 400 yard Freestyle Relay. Rees Langston of Lee broke the record in 200 yard Freestyle and tied her last year's record in the 100 yard Freestyle.

Others establishing new records were Jill Thomas, McCallum (Austin), 100 yard Butterfly; Adriane Ridgeway, King (Corpus Christi), 300 yard Freestyle; Berry Boggs, Westlake (Austin), 100 yard Breaststroke; and Christine Look, Castleberry (Fort Worth), One Meter Diving.

### State Results

200 YARD MEDLEY RELAY: 1. Bellaire (Houston), (Reeve, McDaniel, McLean, Marter), 2:01.40, New Record. 2. MacArthur (San Antonio), (Coffey, Gaines, Parker, Ince), 2:02.05. 3. Richardson (Brown), Zirkelbach, Sheridan, Johnson), 2:03.05. 4. Lee (Midland), (Monzingo, Tope, Nelson, Adams), 2:03.51. 5. Paschal (Fort Worth), (Nunnallee, Phillips, Nunnallee, Gutowski), 2:04.50. W. T. White (Dallas) Disqualified.

200 YARD FEESTYLE: 1. Rees Langston, Lee (Midland), 2:02.12, New Record. 2. Mona Schweppe, Bellaire (Houston), 2:02.26. 3. Adrian Ridgeway, King (Corpus Christi), 2:03.33. 4. Teresa Coda,

Denton, 2:04.86. 5. Julie Morris, Grand Prairie, 2:05.81. 6. Nancy Murray, Canyon (New Braunfels) 2:08.20.

200 YARD INDIVIDUAL MEDLEY: 1. Laura Nelson, Lee (Midland), 2:20.92. 2. Berry Boggs, Westlake (Austin), 2:21.69. 3. Sarah Sanford, Highland Park (Dallas), 2:24.94. 4. Carol Jackson, Arlington Hts. (Fort Worth), 2:26.01. 5. Nancy Robertson, Burnett, 2:27.55. 6. Pat Reeve, Bellaire (Houston), 2:29.86.

50 YARD FREESTYLE: 1. Jan Bunch, Sam Houston (Arlington), 25.81. 2. Nancy Marter, Bellaire (Houston), 25.92. 3. Shannon Strong, W. T. White (Dallas), 26.03. 4. Elaina Gutowski, Paschal (Fort Worth), 26.08. 5. Jill Thomas, McCallum (Austin), 26.51. 6. Dianna Deerfield, Fort Stockton, 26.95.

ONE METER DIVING: 1. Christine Look, Castleberry (Fort Worth), 485.00. 2. Cindy Riddell, Arlington Hts. (Fort Worth), 423.95. 3. Kim Hickey, Skyline (Dallas), 369.10. 4. Cindy Simmons, Lee (Midland), 346.45. 5. Peggy Rogers, Lee (Midland), 315.95. 6. Patty Reyes, Lee (San Antonio) 302.75.

100 YARD BUTTERFLY: 1. Jill Thomas, McCallum (Austin), 1:05.39, New Record. 2. Karen Young, McAllen, 1:06.61. 3. Debbie Nunnallee, Paschal (Fort Worth), 1:06.71. 4. Dianna Deerfield, Fort Stockton, 1:06.78. 5. Gayle Clark, W. T. White (Dallas), 1:07.63. 6. Beth McCreary, Clear Creek (League City), 1:09.22.

(See SWIMMING page 3)