

To: One-Act Play Critic Judges

From: Luis Muñoz, State Theatre Director

Subject: One-Act Play Contest Questionnaire

We are asking you as a qualified Critic Judge to help evaluate the contest you judge. In this packet you will find a One-Act Play Contest Questionnaire. Please complete the questionnaire as soon after the contest as possible and return it to the State Office. Your observations and criticisms of the contest will be greatly appreciated by this office.

Thank you for serving in the vital capacity of critic judge. We trust the contest will be an exciting adventure in educational theatre and that your critique will be a teaching experience from which students, directors, and (possibly) patrons, may all profit.

Select two unranked (not 1st and 2nd place) plays to advance to the next higher level. An alternate must be selected (not necessarily announced) in case of advancing play withdrawal. In junior high/middle school contests, plays shall be ranked 1st, 2nd and 3rd.

A best actor, best actress, and all-star cast must be named as per Section 1033 (d) (3) (E). The honorable mention all-star cast is optional, but try to follow the local wishes. The best actor and actress must be individuals. All-star cast and honorable mention all-star cast ensemble awards may be given as one single award only when a group of people play the same character (birds, frogs, old men, servants). The group is given only one symbolic award. An individual all-star cast award, assigned by the judge to a chorus or other group of players that cannot be individually identified, counts as only one individual award and is given only one symbolic award.

Thank you in advance for returning the questionnaire and for helping us evaluate the One-Act Play Contest.

# THE ORIGIN OF THIS INFORMATION WILL BE KEPT STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL (CRITIC JUDGE ONLY)

#### ONE-ACT PLAY CONTEST QUESTIONNAIRE

Note: Please return this questionnaire to: State Theatre Director, P.O. Box 8028, Austin, Texas 78713-8028. \_\_\_\_\_ Conference\_\_\_\_\_ (circle one) ZONE, DISTRICT, AREA, or REGION (number/letter) CONTEST SITE: NAME OF CONTEST MANAGER: \_\_\_\_ PHONE ( ) YOUR NAME: \_\_ YOUR ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_ Yes No Prior to the contest, were you provided play titles or scripts in sufficient time to become familiar with the plays? If not, why? Did you request and receive a written agreement (contract) detailing your judging assignment? Prior to the contest, were you briefed by the contest manager concerning procedures, all-star cast selection and critiques? Were you given an opportunity to meet with directors prior to the contest? If not, why? 4. Were you provided with an adequate working condition? (See "Working Conditions" in the Critic Judge's Guide, items 1-6, and the Contest Manager's Guide in the current Handbook for One-Act Play.) In your opinion, were there violations of any One-Act Play Contest Rules (Section 1033)? If yes, indicate action taken by the contest manager. Was there any evidence of unsportsmanlike conduct by directors or students (Section 1034 – One-Act Play Contest Ethics Code)? If yes, what action was taken by the contest manager? Did all companies stay for their critique? If not, why?

Your elaboration on any of the above or your comments concerning any aspect of the One-Act Play Contest would be appreciated. List any plays (short or long) on the approved lists on the UIL website, you feel should be **deleted**. Also, list plays of approved publishers that would meet OAP Contest rule requirements that you feel should be added to the approved lists. (please attach a separate sheet with

Was the contest well organized? (See Contest Manager's Guide noted above.)

10. Did the directors and students appear to be responsive to your critique? If not, please

9.

additional information)

explain.

## **Evaluation Form and Ballot Instructions for Critic Judges**

The Production Evaluation Form will allow schools to receive written feedback from all judges adjudicating the contest. Please note that emphasis is placed on the Oral Critique as the primary means of providing direct and educational criticism and engagement with all participants. This form shall be used at all contests whether adjudicated by a single critic or a panel.

All judges will be provided one Evaluation Form per school.

At the conclusion of the contest, please follow the procedures for single critic or panel judging below:

### **Single Critic Judge**

- Single critics select two plays to advance to the next level by circling the "advancing" option on the advancing school's Evaluation Form.
- Single critics select one play as alternate by circling the "alternate" option on the selected play's Evaluation Form.
- Single critics should select the "Non-Advancing" option for all of the remaining schools. SINGLE
   CRITIC JUDGES <u>DO NOT</u> RANK PRODUCTIONS ON THE EVALUATION FORM OR JUDGING
   BALLOT.
- Single critics are not required to complete the "overall impressions" section of the evaluation form as they will be providing an oral critique.
- Single critics MUST complete the Judging Ballot listing advancing plays, alternate, and acting awards.

#### **Panel Judges**

- All panel judges **SHALL** independently rank the plays from first to last using the "rank" box on the front of the Evaluation Form.
- All panel judges SHOULD provide brief, written comments using the "overall impressions" section of the Evaluation Form. The best critiques teach and encourage the student. Please offer areas of improvement and positive attributes for each production.
- All panel judges **SHALL** complete the Judging Ballot ranking each of the plays on the ballot. The judge selecting acting awards shall also record the acting award selections.

#### All Judges (Both Single Critics and Panel Judges)

- All judges **SHALL** complete relevant sections of the Evaluation Form. Clarification notes can be made at the discretion of the judge (a glossary of terms used in the Evaluation Form are available on the Theatre page of the UIL Website).
- All judges **SHALL** evaluate the Overall Effectiveness of the Production in the last section of the Evaluation.
- All judges may (with the approval of the directors) arrange to send their completed Evaluation
  Forms by mail or electronic submission directly to directors rather than completing them on the
  contest date. If choosing this option, the critic judge should obtain the appropriate contact
  information for each director during the directors meeting.

## **ACTING AWARDS BALLOT**

Conference 1A 2A 3A 4A 5A Zone/District/Area/Region/State

## **Honorable Mention All-Star Cast**

1		
School -	Role	
2		
School -	Role	
3		
	_Role	
4		
	Role	
5		
	Role	
6		
School -	Role	
7		
School -	_Role	
8		
School -	_Role	
Advancing School 1		1 (JH)
Advancing School 2		2 (JH)
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE		DATE

## **ACTING AWARDS BALLOT**

### **ALL-STAR CAST**

1	
School -	Role -
2	
School -	_Role
3	
School -	_Role
4	
School -	Role
5	
School -	_Role
6	
School -	_Role
7	
School -	_Role
8	
School -	Role
Best Actor	SFA
School -	_Role
Best Actress	SFA
School -	_Role

SELECT THE SAMUEL FRENCH AWARD (STATE ONLY) BY CHECKING THE BOX BY THE NAME



## UIL One-Act Play Contest Judge's Evaluation

This ballot is not meant to justify the decision of the judge but should be used as a teaching tool to rate the production on its own merits.

Title Contest Site	Performance Order Date Judge
FOR SINGLE CRITIC ONLY. Circle One:	FOR PANELS ONLY
Advancing Alternate Non-Advancing	RANK

Overall Impressions: (Comments should be brief and are not intended to replace an oral critique. This section is optional for single critic judges.)

Evaluation Key  E - Excellent G - Good F - Fair NA - Not Applicable		Excellent: Production utilizes the described element or skill consistently during the majority of the performance with great effectiveness.  Good: Production utilizes the described element or skill well during the majority of the performance but sometimes lacks effectiveness.  Fair: Production shows some implementation of the described element or skill but applications are inconsistent and often ineffective. Not Applicable: Described element or		
	:		Skill does no	at apply to this production.  ACTING (60%)
Е	G	F	NA	Characterization
				<ul> <li>Evidence that actors understand character objectives</li> </ul>
				Evidence that actors understand tactics/ conflict
				Evidence of character growth (dramatic arc)
				Evidence of engaged listening
	. •			Commitment to emotional context
				Physicality
				- Control
				<ul> <li>Physicalization of character actions</li> </ul>
				- Embodiment of character
				Vocal Dynamics
				- Projection
				- Articulation
				<ul><li>Vocal Variety</li></ul>
				- Suitability for all characters
				Ensemble
				- Exhibits cohesiveness
				<ul> <li>Demonstrates unity of purpose</li> </ul>
				- Clarity of relationships
				Performance Effectiveness
				- Motivation
				- Spontaneity
				- Stylistic consistency
				<ul> <li>Pacing and Tempo for individual scenes</li> </ul>
				- Overall believability

E - Excellent G - Good F - Fair NA - Not Applicable		<ul> <li>Excellent: Production utilizes the described element or skill consistently during the majority of the performance with great effectiveness.</li> <li>Good: Production utilizes the described element or skill well during the majority of the performance but sometimes lacks effectiveness.</li> <li>Fair: Production shows some implementation of the described element or skill but applications are inconsistent and often ineffective. Not Applicable: Described element or skill does not apply to this production.</li> </ul>		
			DIREC	TING & STAGE MECHANICS (40%)
E	G	F	NA	Staging and Business
			estata	- Blocking
			-	- Composition/ Use of space
				- Suitability of focus
				Story Elements
				Effective communication of dramatic arc/ journey
				<ul> <li>Addressed appropriate plot elements</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>Variety of Mood</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>Clarity in development of moments</li> </ul>
				Theme/ Style
				<ul> <li>Communication and clarity of theme(s)</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>Suitability and clarity of style</li> </ul>
				Overall consistency and execution of directorial choices
				Within the limitation of contest rules and what is available at the contest site
				- Groundplan, Set and Properties
				<ul> <li>Costume and Make-up</li> </ul>
				– Lighting
				- Music
				<ul> <li>Consistency with conceptual choices</li> </ul>
				Overall Design Effectiveness
Q				Overall Effectiveness of the Production

Evaluation Key