dividual Evaluation Sheet

Note: Evaluate each speaker individually based on the total presentation. At the end of the round, rank the speakers in order of the quality of the presentations: Best is 1st, second best is 2nd, and so on. Rank every contestant. Do not tie any contestants.

Speaker #	Contestant	Round	Section
Topic			Conference

The best critiques teach and encourage the student. Please offer areas of improvement and positive attributes of the speech.

- Did the speaker answer the question?
- Was the content informative?
- Was there sufficient use of logic, facts, examples and/or expert opinion?
- Was the information adequately documented?
- Was the information pertinent to the specific topic?

Introduction

- · Did the speaker get attention?
- Was the topic clearly stated?
- · Did the speaker preview and give focus to the key ideas?

Body

- · Were divisions clear and appropriate to the topic?
- · Did the speaker make effective use of signposting, internal summaries, and transitions?
- · Was adequate time devoted to each division within the 7 minute time limit?
- Was there a logical progression of ideas?

Conclusion

- · Did the speaker tie the speech togeth-
- Was the answer to the question clear?
- Was there a note of finality?

Language Style

- · Was the language suitable to informing the audience?
- · Was the language precise, grammatically correct and vivid?
- Was the delivery natural and spontane-
- · Did it reinforce the ideas of the speech?

Vocal Delivery

- Was enunciation clear?
- Was volume appropriate?
- Was there sufficient variety in rate, pause and pitch?

Physical Delivery

- · Did the speaker exhibit poise and confidence?
- Were gestures varied, movement motivated and eye contact direct?
- If note card was used, was it an unobtrusive part of the delivery?

ludge's Signature